Attachment 28. Public Comments
Comments received 2/27/2021 through 3/23/2021

 From:
 Reus, Victor

 To:
 Crystal Acker

 Subject:
 Re: UPC17-0094

Date: March 04, 2021 1:56:45 PM

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png image003.png image006.png

EXTERNAL

The Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of parking at this facility, given its own projected rates of trips and visits, and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- 1. The weekday peak hourly rate of this facility increases from 6 trips per hour to 36, a 600% increase and the weekend hourly rate from 11 to 69, a 627% increase.
- 2. The total daily trips increase from 38 to 291, a 765% increase.
- 3. There are only 11-12 guest parking spaces, and there is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30", this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold is available. This increases to 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated."

Victor I. Reus *Kira D. Tiedgens* Submitted solely as personal opinion

From: Crystal Acker < Crystal. Acker@sonoma-county.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 11:12 AM **To:** Reus, Victor < Victor.Reus@ucsf.edu>

Subject: RE: UPC17-0094

Hi Victor,

The draft Initial Study/Negative Declaration is available for review here:

https://share.sonoma-county.org/link/N6akMIfRJd0/

Due to Public Health Orders, Permit Sonoma will be temporarily closing to the public effective Monday, July 20 until further notice. We continue to provide services remotely minimizing person-to-person contact which helps protect our community. We look forward to serving you and will reply to your message within the next three business days.

We encourage you to use our online services for permitting, records, scheduling inspections, and general questions. You can find out more about our extensive online services at <u>permitsonoma.com</u>

Thank you for your patience and understanding as we work together to keep our communities safe.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Planner III

www.PermitSonoma.org

County of Sonoma

Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Direct: 707-565-8357

Office: 707-565-1900 | Fax: 707-565-1103



OFFICE HOURS: Permit Sonoma's public lobby is open Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM, except Wednesdays, open from 10:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

From: Reus, Victor < Victor.Reus@ucsf.edu>

Sent: March 03, 2021 10:50 AM

To: Crystal Acker < Crystal. Acker@sonoma-county.org>

Subject:

Please provide me with a copy the IS/ND that you intend to file re the retail cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen.

Victor I. Reus, M.D.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

 From:
 Karla Noyes

 To:
 Crystal Acker

 Cc:
 Karla Noyes

Subject: Cannibas Dispensary - UPC17-0094

Date: March 05, 2021 11:18:54 AM

Dear Crystal,

It is unfortunate that the applicant did not withdraw his application and find a more suitable location. Perhaps his option to purchase required that he stick with it until the project is fully denied or lose his deposit. I think it would have been better for him to cut his losses and move on but since that hasn't happened we get to go through this exercise.

The Notice of Intent to Approve postcard states that if we want to dispute the project later we have to have our objections submitted by the time of the public hearing, thus I am writing.

This is the wrong business in that location, 8th Street East would be a much better location, lots of parking, no neighbors to annoy, minimal impact on traffic.

I disapprove of this project at the corner of Madrone and Arnold because:

- 1. The parking needs have been vastly underestimated. You have only to visit Mercy Wellness in Cotati to get a sense of the number of cars that will show up. Customers will have to park on the street, taking the spaces of the Rancho Market, the apartment complex AND the street parking already utilized by the people who live here.
- 2. As a licensed real estate agent I can tell you that the value of our properties will decline with the presence of that business.
- 3. The impact on traffic has been vastly underestimated, again, you have only to visit Mercy Wellness to get a sense of the traffic. This recent article describes cars around the block, to the Gravenstein Highway, which is not a problem because there aren't any residents impacted: Sonoma County cannabis dispensaries that deliver better positioned during pandemic (pressdemocrat.com)
- 4. The high school drops kids off right in front of that building! https://youtu.be/MnG7Ar6O9gc
- 5. I believe this business in this location will make our neighborhood a magnet for drug users. I don't want them here.
- 6. Because of the cash nature of their business it will attract crime in our neighborhood.
- 7. This project has been rejected by the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission.

If this project is approved by the Planning Commission the residents of our neighborhood are committed to appeal it before the County Board of Supervisors.

I look forward to continuing with this legally required process.

Sincerely,

Karla Noyes 15549 Brookview Dr. THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Rhina Badia-Barrientos</u>

To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Marijuana dispensary at 15499 Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen

Date: March 05, 2021 2:04:29 PM

Contact project planner:

Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

707-565-8257 Permit Sonoma

2550 Ventura Ave. Santa Rosa, CA

Ms. Acker:

We are writing to you to STRONGLY OPPOSE the above-mentioned marijuana dispensary.

Here are some of the reasons we don't want this business here: - we believe it will lower our property values - the increased traffic will make that intersection dangerous - there is not adequate parking and their customers will have to park on the street where residents currently park - because of the cash nature of the business it will attract crime - it will become a regional magnet for drug users - the high school drops kids off right in front of the business. This drug dispensary does not belong in our family neighborhood.

Please accept this request to decline approval of this dispensary.

We also would like to know the statistics as to how many people in Glen Ellen oppose this project.

We look forward to receiving this data.

Thank you,

Rick and Rhina Barrientos

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Genevieve Haven</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Re: Marijuana Dispensary at Madrone & Arnold

Date: March 05, 2021 3:08:36 PM

Dear Crystal Acker,

I am writing to urge the county NOT to allow for this dispensary to be permitted at 15499 Arnold Dr. in Glen Ellen. It is not

a proper location for this type of business and will only cause problems for the families and residents in this area.

Traffic will clog and be dangerous for the intersection there, it's not safe in that high school kids area dropped off from the bus right in front of this location and it will most likely cause drug users to congregate in the area which will be unsafe for

Young and elderly residents in the area.

Please reconsider allowing for this dispensary to open at this location.

Best regards,

Genevieve Haven

Glen Ellen, CA.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Marina Abbott</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Dispensary on Madrone

Date: March 06, 2021 7:51:38 AM

EXTERNAL

Yes! The retail space has been empty for many years and should be used to benefit the community. Selling this LEGAL product in the valley will reduce auto emissions as locals won't have to leave the valley to shop. It increases our value to tourists. The location meets all county standards. And for those up in arms about "children living nearby," please note we have many "alcohol dispensaries" in the neighborhood, and no one is complaining. As with alcohol, at a cannabis dispensary, only customers with proof of age are allowed to purchase the product. And let's not forget the tax dollars to be generated by this business. Support this project.

Marina Abbott

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: Barbara Zoeller
To: Crystal Acker
Subject: Madrone dispensary
Date: March 06, 2021 8:43:37 AM

We support! Barbara Zoeller

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>SANDY STRASSBERG</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Dispensary at Madrone in GE Date: March 06, 2021 10:03:44 AM

EXTERNAL

I wanted you to know that I live in GE and would love a marijuana dispensary there! I see no problem, having been in a few and seeing how they are run. I have lived here 40 years and am 68 years old. Sometimes we need medicine and it would be handy.

Thank you, Sandy Strassberg

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: Brian Gilliland
To: Crystal Acker
Subject: Madrone dispensary
Date: March 06, 2021 12:23:25 PM

I am in full support of this period

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>John Taylor</u>

To: <u>Susan Gorin; Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Cannabis dispensary on Madrone/alArnold

Date: March 06, 2021 2:02:10 PM

Seems reasonable to have a cannabis dispensary at Madrone and Arnold Dr. just south of Glen Ellen. The neighbors will watch to insure the establishment is a law abiding facility.

As a Glen Ellen resident for four years, it has become obvious to me that some residents can not stand for any change even when the changes are legal. Even getting agreement on safe sidewalks from one end of this village to the other have been considered too radical a change to achieve agreement. Too many times I have seen ness as t misses between fast cars are roadside walkers returning from our restaurants - days are numbered before an injury is reported.

Back to Madrone and Arnold... The notation that a business can't be held accountable to follow the law leads to too many 'Not in my back yard - NIMBY' opinions.

I hope you will agree that if this business proposal meets legal requirements, and they are held accountable to current law, approval of this business is comparable to approval of the many wineries we have throughout the valley.

Thank you for considering my thoughts in this matter.

Sincerely,

John Taylor Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen resident

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Ezequiel Vazquez</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Permit Sonoma file no. UPC17-0094

Date: March 06, 2021 3:52:14 PM

EXTERNAL

Hope all is well. I was recently notified about the permit request for a cannabis dispensary at the 15499 Arnold dr, Glen Ellen. I am not pleased to hear this proposal. I have a toddler and a newborn and our community is great with a lot of other young families. And have concerns this would greatly impact the area. Bringing a long very unwanted traffic that might loiter around the area and could very well make there way down to the near by park where a lot of younger kids and teenagers go and spend quality time which could in part strongly influence our youth so being so close to home.

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Deborah Nitasaka</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Cc: Susan Gorin; David Rabbitt; James Gore; Lynda Hopkins; Chris Coursey

Subject: Marijuana Dispensary at Madrone & Arnold - Action Needed! 15499 Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen at the corner of

Madrone and Arnold in the old fire station. Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094.

Date: March 07, 2021 10:48:26 AM

Dear Ms. Acker,

Please count me in as one who opposes this project, the second such project proposed for this property since 2018. This morning I posted the following message to Nextdoor and would hope you will consider my points as I believe they clearly make the case for shelving a dope shop (once and for all!) in a Glen Ellen neighborhood:

Marijuana Dispensary at Madrone & Arnold - Action Needed! 15499 Arnold Dr., Glen Ellen at the corner of Madrone and Arnold in the old fire station. Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094.

"So they're back! It was 2018 when we last went through this effort to set up a head shop next to a school bus stop in that very spot (the old Glen Ellen Fire Station I believe is now owned by a Hap Arnold relative). Legalizing weed (which I endorsed) was never intended by most voters to open the door to fake "dispensaries" where pushers in white lab coats conduct their business as if they were pharmacists, which they aren't. Nor could we have imagined finding ourselves living in a county so hungry for tax revenue that our elected officials would vote to turn neighborhoods into massive collections of party houses (vac rentals), weed factories, and dope shops. Can you tell? I am as adamantly opposed to these money-making, neighborhood destroying, life shortening operations as I am to selling cigs. to children and filling community business districts with booze operations (common in poverty-stricken communities & throughout Sonoma County). As a people, I would hope that not everything is for sale, that we might at some point remind ourselves that the pursuit of happiness does not alone belong to those selling "a good time." Where do you stand?"

In closing, I will look ahead for your email containing the project documents.

Deborah Nitasaka P.O. Box 1054 Glen Ellen, CA 95442

--

Typed on a tiny screen . . . Via deep sea cable . . . Archived forever, somewhere . . . Facebook: Sonoma County Housing Advocacy Group

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: les boschke
To: Crystal Acker
Subject: Madrone Dispensary
Date: March 07, 2021 1:48:06 PM

EXTERNAL

Susan Gorin:

I support the proposal for a cannabis dispensary on Madrone / Arnold. I live in the area, drive by the vacant building daily. It is needed, would support the area, there are no children of concern, the mini-mart next door is a plus, with the new winery tasting room down the street.

Please be aware.

thanks,

Les Boschke sonoma CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: Constance Bay
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Dispensary on the corner of Arnold and Madrone

Date: March 08, 2021 5:10:28 PM

Hi Crystal,

Please add my name to the list of people who are not in favor of the dispensary at this location. Sounds like you have heard multiple reasons for a different location from the neighborhood. Seem like very Legitimate concerns to me so I hope a more appropriate location will be found and this one will be denied. Thanks.

Constance Bay

Homeowner and resident in Glen Ellen

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Steve Monterosso</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: AGAINST Marijuana Dispensary at Madrone & Arnold

Date: March 08, 2021 5:52:06 PM

Hi Crystal, I have lived near this corner for over 26 years. I am not against dispensaries but I am against a dispensary at that location for many reasons. The intersection of Madrone and Arnold is plagued with traffic issues. Cars running the stop on Arnold, traffic attempting to access the mini-mart, delivery trucks parked on Madrone not to mention the bus stop traffic that is on both sides of Arnold. The thought of the increased traffic attempting to access the proposed location is mind boggling for those of us that will have to deal with it every day! There are many children that live in the apartments and homes nearby. On most days and evenings these children walk or ride their bikes up to the mini-mart or are playing in the nearby apartment complex. I understand the proximity to the family apartments may not technically disqualify the dispensary location, but it should be a huge consideration due the the amount of children that are always in the specific area. I believe there are many more suitable locations in the valley, especially now that this pandemic has made more properties available that were not considered earlier. Thank you for your consideration, Steve Monterosso

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: joy spragens
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Oppose dispensary at Madrone and Arnold

Date: March 08, 2021 9:29:56 PM

EXTERNAL

We are totally opposed for me reasons than I have time to list.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: Scott Callow
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Cannibis dispensary on Arnold Dr. Eldridge

Date: March 10, 2021 10:49:01 AM

EXTERNAL

Hi Crystal,

I oppose the dispensary at this location due solely to inadequate parking and traffic with existing conditions. In addition, the County needs to consider the increased traffic that is inevitable after the SDC is developed. Traffic thru downtown Glen Ellen is not advisable; in fact, the state or county already directs people going to the SDC to Madrone Ave to access. When developed, the main access south will be Arnold and the main access north to SR will be Madrone to Hwy 12. This corner will be a mess with or without the dispensary. There will be traffic jams regularly between the section from Arnold to the SDC. Couple that with parking on the street and people crossing the road to get to the store and you've got a safety problem.

I support the idea of the business but not at this location.

As an aside, Arnold should be widened on both sides of the street WHERE NEEDED from the SDC to Boyes Blvd. to allow for safe bicycle travel in anticipation of the SDC development. (Bikes can then pick up Railroad Ave.)

Thanks,

Scott Callow 875 Martin St. Glen Ellen, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Katie Christ</u>

To: <u>Crystal Acker; Susan Gorin</u>
Subject: Madrone Dispensary-In Favor
Date: March 12, 2021 9:20:02 AM

Hello-

I'd like to add my voice to those of us <u>in favor of</u> adding a cannabis dispensary in Glen Ellen.

There seems to be tremendous misconception and fear among Glen Ellen residents about cannabis dispensaries. Before moving to Glen Ellen 7 years ago, I lived 1/2 block away from a dispensary. Neighbors were up in arms about the prospect of a dispensary yet soon learned that it was a clean, stylish and well-managed space that attracted a very respectable clientele. Contrary to everyone's fears about adding a 'drug element' to the neighborhood where many children lived, patrons were neighbors and community members and it livened up an otherwise empty building on the block.

Another concern I have read is that it is a cash business. I think people don't understand that this means most clients use ATM debit cards.

Additionally, it is my understanding that most, if not all, dispensaries typically have security protocols that ensure the safety of their staff and clientele.

I do understand the limited parking concerns but believe with good planning, the parking lot can be used effectively and concerns mitigated.

As a resident, I am much less concerned about adding a few dispensaries than I am about adding more events at wineries that flood our highways with drunk drivers.

Respectfully, Katie Christ

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

I live in the area hat do. CANNABIS DISPENSARY AT OLD FIRE CORNER OF MADRONE ROAD AND ARNOLD DRIVE

A cannabis dispensary with 1,891 square feet of retail floor area and delivery service operating 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Saturday (closed Sunday) is seeking a use permit for the property at 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen.

If you have comments to share with the County on this matter, please be advised that the public review period ends March 29 at 5 p.m.

Send comments to: Crystal Acker, Project Planner at Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org Or

> Crystal Acker, Project Planner Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2829

Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094 Reference:

Be sure to state your reasons WHY for your comments. Thank you!

everypark in

MATERIALS:

ADDITIONAL

(closed Sunday) in an existing commercial building on a 0.33-acre parcel

Supervisorial District 1. Parcel Zoning: Limited Commercial, with combining zones for Scenic County of Sonoma provides notice to agencies and interested parties that Resources – Arnold Drive Scenic Corridor and Valley Oak Habitat located at 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Elien, APN 054-130-024. it is releasing a draft Initial Study and Negative Declaration (IS/ND) for the In accordance with Public Resource Code and CEQA Guidelines, the Board of Zoning Adjustments is tentatively scheduled to hold a public After the close of the IS/ND public review period, the Sonoma County impacts. The IS/ND is available through the project planner at this time. project. The IS/ND did not find significant potential environmental notice will be issued ten (10) days prior to the confirmed hearing date. hearing on April 8, 2021 to consider adoption of the IS/ND. A final hearing

Project materials and associated documents normally available at Permit available upon request. county.org or (707) 565-8357. Alternative record accommodations are through the Project Planner, Crystal Acker, at Crystal.Acker@sonoma-Sonoma, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 are now available

contact the project planner listed above. The required 30-day public If you have questions or concerns regarding the proposed project, please All comments received after the review period will be included in the public record for consideration and provided to the decision-making body Comments on the IS/ND must be received by March 29, 2021, at 5:00 PM review period on the IS/ND is February 26, 2021 to March 29, 2021. prior to or at the public hearing scheduled as above described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to Permit raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing If you challenge the decision on the project in court you may be limited to Sonoma at or prior to the public hearing

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FOR A CANNABIS DISPENSARY USE PERMIT

This Notice of intent was issued during the Sonoma County Sheiter in Place order.

Additional accommodations and digital file review are available.

John Lobro, Loe Firehouse, Inc., Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

delivery service operating 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Saturday

Cannabis dispensary with 1,891 square feet of retail floor area and

February 26, 2021

From: Sharon Church
To: Crystal Acker
Cc: Susan Gorin

Subject: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094 - OPPOSED

Date: March 12, 2021 11:11:09 AM

Re: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Oppose Dispensary in My Neighborhood

I purchased my home at 15241 Marty Drive in Glen Ellen 37 years ago in 1984. When the fire station was built at the corner of Madrone and Arnold, I was delighted to have the safety of fire protection so close to my home. The corner location with a driveway right at the intersection made sense for a fire department because fire engines could easily go several directions and of course, would have lights and sirens for safe ingress/egress. I was disappointed when it closed. Subsequent office uses and a residential unit had no negative impact on our neighborhood.

Fast forward to the prospect of a marijuana dispensary on that same corner. Instead of adding to our safety, it adds to our crime risk. To pretend otherwise is disingenuous—a cash business with drugs and extraordinary mandated security requirements does NOT belong in a somewhat remote residential neighborhood. The primary use of the property to the North is that of a single-family residence, followed by a large apartment complex. To the East, a small market followed by the same large apartment complex. To the South and West is residential. The homes along Madrone are burdened by overflow parking from the market and apartment complex. They cannot absorb the overflow parking that would be generated by a dispensary.

NO to the dispensary. Thank you.

Vicki Baseheart 15241 Marty Drive Glen Ellen, CA 95442

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Vicki Baseheart
To: Crystal Acker
Cc: Susan Gorin

Subject: Fwd: Dispensary - Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Date: March 12, 2021 11:22:04 AM

Subject: Dispensary - Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Reference: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

I am opposed to the proposed cannabis dispensary for the following reasons:

<!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Safety of our residential neighborhood. The required security and lighting indicate this use is not appropriate for our neighborhood.

<!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->The property is immediately adjacent to an occupied single-family home and with the exception of the Rancho Market & Deli immediately to the East, is surrounded by apartments and homes.

<!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]--->New dispensaries are opening in more appropriate areas. For those who live here and don't want to drive, delivery services are available. Sonoma will soon have a dispensary, possibly two. This detail was not included in the January 4, 2021 Addendum to the Traffic Impact Study which stated "As there are currently no dispensaries in or near the City of Sonoma, customers from the lower Sonoma Valley, including the City of Sonoma, would need to drive a substantially shorter distance to reach a dispensary with the proposed project than is currently the case." Overlooking the upcoming Sonoma dispensaries was a self-serving omission.

<!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->The dispensary will have a negative impact on our property values.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Consider where dispensaries are already open in Sonoma County—NONE are in a primarily residential area.

The applicant should be instructed to find a more appropriate location. We should not pay the price for the applicants' poor site selection.

Sharon Church 15241 Marty Drive Glen Ellen, CA 95442

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Cathy Dougherty</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Cannabis Dispensary Use Permit - File No UPC17-0094

Date: March 13, 2021 8:58:31 AM

Good Day Crystal,

My name is Cathy Dougherty. My home address is 924 Caton Ct, Sonoma CA 95476-3261. I've lived in my home since Oct of 1997.

I'm writing to strongly object to the approval of the subject request. While the notice I received states, "The IS/ND did not find significant potential environmental impacts...", the location of the proposed "dispensary" is of major concern to me. Its' location is <u>too</u> close to the dense surrounding residential properties. Many of the homes are occupied by families with young and adolescent aged children. These type of commercial facilities are best approved only in <u>predominately</u> industrial locations.

Sincerely, *Cathy Dougherty*

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Sara Nicholls
To: Crystal Acker
Subject: Dispensary

Date: March 13, 2021 6:38:12 PM

EXTERNAL

I totally support dispensaries (definitely plural) in Sonoma, however, there needs to be a place to smoke! No legal place to smoke in this town! It's ridiculous! Wish we had a proper Amsterdam style cafe! Barring that, a circle in the sand would do!

Sara Nicholls

Outlaw til I die probably!

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: <u>Don Van Staaveren</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Permit Sonoma File No.UPC17-0094

Date: March 14, 2021 1:51:16 PM

Dear Ms Acker,

I'm writing to express my opinion that a cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive is a horrible location including but not limited to the following reasons.

- 1 the location is adjacent two large apartment complexes containing numerous families with young children.
- 2 the location is adjacent a large housing tract containing numerous families with young children.
- 3 the location is on one of the two major roads, Arnold Drive, traversing Sonoma Valley (the other, Highway 12, runs parallel). Both heavily travelled.
- 4 the location borders one of the major connecting roads (Madrone Road) between Highway 12 and Arnold Drive. Heavily travelled.
- 5 there is no on street parking.
- 6 the attached parking lot is too small.
- 7 making a left turn from the parking lot goes immediately into a 4 way stop.
- 8 I believe the hours of operation are way to long and an imposition on the neighborhoods.
- 9 driving on Highway 12 passing an existing cannabis grow on the Gordenker property the frequent odor of cannabis is pervasive and obnoxious even from inside one's own vehicle. I fear our neighborhood will be subjected to the same stench.

Although I support the legal right to permit cannabis dispensaries this is definitely not the appropriate place for one.

Respectfully, Don Van Staaveren

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

From: Rick Philips
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Permit Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094. NO WAY!

Date: March 14, 2021 2:18:23 PM

EXTERNAL

Crystal Acker,

Of the many reasons to oppose a dispensary on the corner of Madrone and Arnold:

- 1) TRAFFIC: the increased traffic will make that intersection even more dangerous
- 2) PARKING: inadequate parking; customers will have to park on the street where residents currently park
- 3) CRIME: already a problem around the multifamily housing there; the cash nature of the business will increase crime; it will become a regional magnet for drug users;
- 4) SCHOOL DROP-OFF: the high school drops kids off right in front of the business

So many better locations throughout the area!

Richard Philips 15540 Brookview Drive

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

<u>Second</u>, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. 100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store

in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3.847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Ricardo & Lisa Capretta

P Carnetta

1200 Morningside Mountain Drive, Glen, CA 95442

From: McCune, Mike
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen

Date: March 15, 2021 9:21:14 AM

Dear Ms. Acker,

As a homeowner across the street from the proposed cannabis dispensary at 15499 Arnold Drive in Glen Ellen, I am writing to lodge my strong belief that the scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. **Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary.** No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – **and not separated by a** "**public street**" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in

between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Joseph M McCune 1400 Morningside Mountain Drive Glen Ellen, Ca.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. 100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3.847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces - therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Lig Morrin

Sincerely,

Paul and Liz Morrison

976 Glenwood Dr Sonoma CA 95476

Letter sent via email - Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Crystal Acker, M.S.
Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

At the upcoming hearing on April 8, 2021, the Board of Zoning Adjustments should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the projected exponential increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, there will be at least 12 cars per hour on a weekday trying to find parking spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available.

This increases to <u>at least</u> 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is inexcusable that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. 100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the

direct opposite of "physical separation" — it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary site and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, nearly every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan, but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to calculate parking only based on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space - which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires $2 + 20 + \hat{5} = 27$ spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and the potential increase in crime issues.

Due to these major environmental and code violations, the Board of Adjustments and the PRMB should deny this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Brett Cooper

2000 Morningside Mountain Dr.

Glen Ellen, CA 95442

From: Bob Duste

To: Crystal Acker

Cc: Ricardo Capretta

Subject: Loe Firehouse Dispensary

Date: March 15, 2021 2:14:25 PM

Attachments: Loe Firehouse Letter (3-15-21).docx

EXTERNAL

Dear Ms. Acker,

As owners of property near the proposed dispensary which is accessed directly from the intersection of Madrone & Arnold (up Morningside Mountain Road), we would like to strongly endorse Ricardo Capretta's well articulated argument AGAINST a negative declaration concerning the establishment of a cannabis dispensary at the corner of Madrone and Arnold.

We have owned our property for 23 years and are very familiar with the character of the neighborhood which is residential and highly populated with families and children, making it particularly unsuited to the dangers of increased traffic, speeding vehicles, and potentially impaired individuals both driving and roaming the nearby community. We feel very strongly that this business belongs on Highway 12 within the more commercial setting between Agua Caliente to W. Spain St.

In addition to Ricardo's points:

- 1. Cars looking to park, often in a hurry and forced to drive up and down streets off Madrone due to almost constant unavailability of spaces on Madrone will pose a dramatically increased danger to children running and playing in the neighborhood.
- 2. The intersection of Arnold and Madrone already has above average "rolling stops" which will assuredly increase several fold with the increase in traffic. (Police records will no doubt confirm these frequent rolling stops as there often is an officer present at our gate entrance to catch violators.) Also, since the firehouse parking is accessed from Arnold, when visitors discover it full they will increasingly execute illegal u-turns to return to the intersection and seek parking in the residential community down Madrone. Additionally, drivers will be tempted and likely decide to park in the entrance to Morningside Mountain Drive creating a nuisance and hazard for residents entering/exiting from their homes.
- 3. Cars on a regular basis exceed the speed limit on Madrone coming from Highway 12, ignoring the limit reduction at the bridge. This is likely to increase substantially, creating the potential for traffic accidents and raising the danger to pedestrians, cyclists and people entering/exiting their cars along this stretch of road.

While there may be legitimate need for this business in the broader area, this is a particularly poor location for an adult-only drug dispensary that will instill fear in the local community, and unfortunately also be an enticement to the many low-income families living on Madrone who can ill afford to be spending money on psychoactive drugs, potentially creating disharmony in families and between households within the community. Children especially are likely to bear a disproportionate risk to all the downsides that introducing this business into

this residential community will bring.

Thank you for your careful consideration and the burden of responsibility you shoulder in making this important decision.

Regards,

Robert and Sharon Duste 3350 Vigilante Road Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ricardo Capretta < rcapretta@capretta.com>

Subject: Loe Firehouse Dispensary

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not

separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING**. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name Address From: <u>Valerie Aren't</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Subject: Dispensary @ madrone and Arnold Date: March 15, 2021 3:50:09 PM

EXTERNAL

Steve and Valerie Arelt Are opposed to a Marijuana Dispensary in our residential neighborhood. With thanks 1400 Heaven Hill Road

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Jeni Linfante</u>

To: Susan Gorin; Crystal Acker

Subject: Support for Madrone/Arnold dispensary

Date: March 16, 2021 7:30:11 AM

EXTERNAL

I am writing this email in support of the dispensary at the Madrone Arnold intersection. It's been far too long with this valley has been underserved by this medical necessity and this location is perfect. I have visited dispensaries around the county and they are always clean, well kept, and safe. More so than any liquor store, tasting room or grocery store even.

Jeni Linfante Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

 From:
 Charles F. Pohl

 To:
 Crystal Acker

 Cc:
 Ricardo Capretta

 Subject:
 15499 Arnold Drive

 Date:
 March 16, 2021 7:41:51 AM

March 16, 2021

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonomacounty.org

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker.

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two

counts:

- 1. **100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK.** A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.
- 2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 van-accessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating

this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Charles Pohl

77 Oso Trail Glen Ellen

Charles F. Pohl Dodge & Cox

555 California Street | 40th floor | San Francisco, CA 94104 415-981-1710 T | 415-986-2924 F

<u>Charles.Pohl@dodgeandcox.com</u> <u>www.dodgeandcox.com</u>

Please follow the hyperlink to important disclosures. https://www.dodgeandcox.com/disclosures/email_disclosure_funds.html

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Debbie Lammers</u>

To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>; <u>Susan Gorin</u>

Subject: Glen Ellen: Arnold Dr/Madrone Rd dispensary application

Date: March 15, 2021 7:17:46 PM

Hon. Gorin and Ms. Acker: I was unable to locate the permit application number for this particular filing but it is my understanding that it is *tentatively* scheduled for an April 8 Board of Zoning Adjustments hearing.

I wish to address the main point of contention and that is the neighborhood location for this medical dispensary. Although I do not know the details about how the Sonoma County Sheriff Department determines patrol routes, it is my experience that these routes are planned to provide increased surveillance at cannabis locations. This additional law enforcement activity would enhance safety at such businesses. This particular location would be closed on Sundays, which would be beneficial for traffic and safety concerns in the surrounding neighborhoods.

As an aside, It would not be surprising to learn that medical dispensaries would have a different customer demographic than recreational cannabis businesses. One of my favorite questions to ask is "what is the average age of a marijuana customer?" It is older than one would think - it was 46 at one time in Colorado. Many folks say 25!

My comments are based on my experience as a member of a Board of Education in Boulder County, Colorado, from 2009 to 2017. During that tenure, I held a number of state-wide legislative and school board association advocacy roles. I saw the introduction of the cannabis industry up close as a member of the Colorado Department of Public Safety's School Safety Resource Center's Advisory Board which reported to the Governor. The small town we lived in faced similar neighborhood concerns when a dispensary application was filed and later approved.

Thank you for your careful consideration of the many factors affecting the outcome of this permit application.

Debbie & Chris Lammers 303.946.0431 dlammers303@gmail.com 12400 Henno Road Glen Ellen CA 95442-9490

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

 From:
 Arielle Kubu-Jones

 To:
 "rekas@vom.com"

 Cc:
 Crystal Acker

Subject: RE: Constituent Matter: Pot store Arnold Dr. @ Madrone Rd.

Date: March 16, 2021 2:33:38 PM

Attachments: <u>image002.png</u>

image003.png image004.png

Hello Ron,

On behalf of Supervisor Gorin, thank you for writing. This project was reviewed by the Sonoma Valley Citizen's Advisory Commission in May 2018. SVCAC voted to reject this application. It is now moving on to the Board of Zoning Adjustments (BZA).

My suggestion is to submit your comment to the planner for this project, which according to the attached Notice is Crystal Acker: <u>Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org</u>. I am including her on this message. You can also attend the meeting virtually where this is to be heard, which is tentatively scheduled for April 8th. The agenda is not up yet, but when it is it will be available here: https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Board-of-Zoning-Adjustments/.

If the decision of the BZA is appealed, this will come before the Board of Supervisors and Supervisor Gorin will be one of five folks to make the final decision on this project. Until that point, she needs to remained unbiased in her opinions.

Best.

Arielle Kubu-Jones
District Co-Director
Supervisor Susan Gorin
First Supervisorial District
County of Sonoma
575 Administration Drive, Room 100A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
707.565.2241
arielle.kubu-jones@sonoma-county.org

For what's open in Sonoma County, industry best management plans and more, visit SoCoLaunch

<u>DID YOU KNOW</u> you can submit a service request for **trash pick up**, **potholes**, **vegetation** maintenance, and more <u>online</u>? There's a site/app for that!

Click the image below, or download the free SoCo Report It app on your device's app store.



First District Municipal Advisory Councils

Click logo for more information







----Original Message-----

From: no-reply@sonoma-county.org <no-reply@sonoma-county.org>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 5:02 PM

To: Susan Gorin < Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org>

Subject: Constituent Matter: Pot store Arnold Dr. @ Madrone Rd.

Sent To: County of Sonoma Topic: Constituent Matter

Subject: Pot store Arnold Dr. @ Madrone Rd.

Message: 3/15/2021

Dear Ms. Gorin;

I'm writing you about the proposed cannabis dispensary at the old fire station on Madrone Road @ Arnold Dr.

My wife, and I have lived at 15428 Marty Drive since we bought our home in March 1994. Next week, will be 27 years for us, living here in this neighborhood.

We can assure you that a marijuana dispensary is not a good idea. We have enough "riff-raff", or undesirable individuals that come through our neighborhood as it is, with the two apartment complexes both on Madrone Road, and Marty Drive.

As with most neighborhoods, we've seen firsthand the decline in our neighborhood over the years. The last thing that we need is a pot store to attract more undesirable folks into this neighborhood.

Please consider that there are about 100 homes, besides the two apartment complexes that have approximately 60 units combined. Each of these units are generally over-occupied, with several occupants in one & two bedroom apartment units, evidenced by the constant over crowded off-site

street parking.

The homes, and apartments units, have many children of school age, most who ride the school bus to school (before COVID), and would be exposed to the solicitors of this pot store, once COVID is lifted, and school returns back to normal.

Please do not allow a pot store in our neighborhood. It would be better suited out on Hwy 116/121 where there is more open space, and less impact on a residential neighborhood.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns, and thank you in advance for your cooperation into this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Ekas & Susan Nord-Ekas

Sender's Name: Ron Ekas

Sender's Email: rekas@vom.com Sender's Home Phone: 7079390859 Sender's Cell Phone: 7078890342 Sender's Work Phone: 7078890342

Sender's Address: 15428 Marty Dr. Glen Ellen, CA 95442 From: Mary Ann Athearn

To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Fire House Glen Ellen

Date: March 16, 2021 5:28:31 PM

EXTERNAL

Hello Crystal,

My main concern is having cannabis for purchase in an area that is wooded...thus Fire House in the past.

Thank you Crystal, Mary Ann Athearn

Sent from my iPad

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Mary Ann Athearn
To: Crystal Acker

Subject: Loe Firehouse Letter (3-15-21).docx

Date: March 16, 2021 2:48:33 PM

Attachments: Loe Firehouse Letter (3-15-21).docx

EXTERNAL

Mary Ann Athearn 22500 Morningside Mtn Glen Ellen 95442

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Sent from my iPad

Crystal Acker, M.S. Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org
Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location – **and not**

separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING**. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name Address From: <u>Laura F</u>

To: Crystal Acker; Susan Gorin
Subject: Support for Madrone Dispensary
Date: March 18, 2021 9:46:02 AM

Hi Crystal and Susan,

Writing to say I am very much in support of building the Madrone Dispensary. If there is a more formal way to show support please let me know.

Thank you, Laura Friese (415) 722-6917 3400 Castle Road, Sonoma, 95476

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1.956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces - therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely, Mc Concell

Mary McConnell 957 Glenwood Drive

Sonoma 95476

Please help to keep this a Family/Children friendly neighborhood.

Thank Son.

Page 2

Crystal Acker, M.S. Letter sent via email - Crystal. Acker@sonoma-county.org Planner III County of Sonoma PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Re: Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEOA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet 1. from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1.956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: ... " For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least I vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces - therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name May smalley Address 15232 Amold D. 15232 Amold D. Slen Ellen, Ca. 95442

Page 2

?-

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email - Crystal. Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re:

Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

- PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location—and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.
- **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces – therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name 15232 airold D.1.
Address

Hen Eller, Ca 95442

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email – Crystal.Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III

County of Sonoma

PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review

2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re: Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker.

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons. We have been informed of the following, and state:

First, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- Daily Trips for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, a 792% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

INSUFFICIENT PARKING. The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but 2. one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space - which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas: ... " For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces - therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Collins and Mark Pallis

16557 Arnold Drive, Sonoma CA 95746

From: <u>Dave Jefferson</u>
To: <u>Crystal Acker</u>

Cc: Catherine Moylan Jefferson; kenwoodpress@gmail.com

Subject: Proposed Glen Ellen cannabis dispensary

Date: March 23, 2021 12:26:04 PM

Crystal Acker, Project Planner, County of Sonoma Dear Ms Acker.

While addressing this mail to you, my actual intended recipient audience is that of the County of Sonoma Supervisors and other Sonoma County property owners. While I do not know the detailed history of this building, clearly at some date it was approved and built as a fire station by the public sector. Then, presumably that use was abandoned and the property was conveyed into the private sector. It is obvious the structure has been a very inefficient office building much of the last 10 years, and then vacant the last two. Since it was a special purpose building from inception, in a prominent location and adjacent to a small grocery store, and clearly one without residential potential, the question of whether there would ever be an acceptable commercial use has repeatedly crossed my mind as I have driven by.

Two years ago by chance I met the current owner of the building, and learned of his proposed commercial use. Last year I asked for a tour of the property, which he provided; its proposed use as a retail cannabis dispensary struck me as ideal for this unusual building. Since it is now in the final stages of a public approval process, I have reached my own conclusion: if the building is not approved for this use, what other viable commercial option is there? Will it stand vacant for more years to come, held hostage by a few neighbors at no cost to them but the occasional caustic letter or personal outcry against any reasonable commercial use? This strikes me as an exceedingly unfair policy for all Sonoma County property owners, prospective cannabis customers in the Glen Ellen area and the current owner especially. I also understand anticipated retail traffic will not be a problem and based on County Sheriff logs, licensed dispensaries do not cause crime.

For these reasons, and advocating fairness by the public sector in regulating the private sector, my wife and I support an approval of its proposed use.

Dave Jefferson Kenwood, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Crystal Acker, M.S.

Letter sent via email - Crystal. Acker@sonoma-county.org

Planner III
County of Sonoma
PRMD - Planning Division | Project Review
2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Re:

Loe Firehouse 3,847 square foot Cannabis Dispensary, 15499 Arnold Drive, Glen Ellen Sonoma File No. UPC17-0094

Dear Ms. Acker,

The scheduled Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing on April 8, 2021 should DISAPPROVE a Negative Declaration for CEQA approval of the above project for two major reasons:

<u>First</u>, the Negative Declaration is highly flawed in its consideration of traffic and parking at this facility, given the applicants projected rates of trips and visits (see page 2 of the January 4, 2021 W-Trans Traffic Study) and prejudicial in its failure to consider the astounding projected increase over current usage.

- <u>Daily Trips</u> for this proposed facility increase from 38 trips to 301, <u>a 792% increase</u>.
- Peak Hour Weekday AM Trips increase from 4 to 21, a 525% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekday PM Trips increase from 6 to 42, a 700% increase.
- Peak Hour Weekend PM Trips increase from 2 to 70, an unheard of 3500% increase.

The traffic impacts from only 12 guest parking spaces will also be astounding and permanently detrimental to this residential neighborhood. There is no calculation made of the average time spent in the store by a customer evaluating possible purchases and completing necessary requirements for a transaction. Assuming an average of 30 minutes, this means that on a weekday there will be at least 12 cars per hour trying to find spaces on Madrone Road or Marty Way, since no near parking on Arnold Drive is available. This increases to at least 44 cars per hour at peak times on weekend trying to park in a very small residential area, where street parking is already occupied by local residents. It is incomprehensible that this impact has not been considered, or that it is considered to meet the May 2016 Traffic Operation Standard that "parking demand is accommodated." Further, see below – the proposed Project does not provide the required parking per the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Second, the Application is in direct violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code on two counts:

1. <u>100 FOOT RESIDENTIAL SETBACK</u>. A cannabis dispensary must be at least 100 feet from a residentially zoned property unless a "physical separation" exists between land uses or parcels such that no offsite impacts could occur. <u>Five residential properties are within 100 feet of the proposed dispensary</u>. No physical separation of any kind exists between these five residential parcels and the proposed cannabis dispensary. PRMD initially stated to the community that "a public street" represents physical separation. A "public street" is actually the direct opposite of "physical separation" – it is "public access". When it was pointed out to

PRMD that the 121-unit apartment project is 57 feet from the dispensary location — and not separated by a "public street" - PRMD then opined in June 2018 that the market/burrito store in between the two properties represented "physical separation" even though you can walk directly on Madrone Road from one property to the other. These arguments make no sense and clearly do not meet the intent of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. Fortunately, in the 2018 approved Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance Resolution 18-003, the County has now defined physical separation as follows in Sections 26-88-254 f (6) and f (8): "Physical equivalent separation exists due to topography, vegetation or slope." That is now the County standard for the term "physical separation". There is no topography, vegetation or slope between the proposed cannabis dispensary and the five residential properties. The "Environmental Pollution Solutions December 21, 2019" memorandum is highly flawed and under their assumptions, every property in Sonoma County would qualify as allowable for a cannabis dispensary within 100 feet of a residential property.

2. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING.** The Applicant has proposed 17 spaces on their site plan but one space does not meet County Code. PRMD has confirmed that that the cannabis dispensary is legally only providing 16 parking spaces. The applicant has calculated the parking code incorrectly and is attempting to only calculate parking on the front retail portion of the facility. The applicant has arbitrarily not allocated any parking requirements to the remaining 1,956 square feet of their space – which is not how the code calculates parking. This dispensary application is for 3,847 square feet. The parking calculation is 100% clear per Sonoma County Code 26-88-010 which states: "Required Parking. All uses permitted in Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code shall provide parking according to the following formulas:..." For a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, the required parking is "2 spaces, including at least 1 vanaccessible space; plus 1 additional space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus 1 additional space for each employee on maximum shift; but in no case less than 5 off-street parking spaces". It clearly says parking must be calculated on the "gross floor area". There is no carveout for any non-retail space within a medical cannabis dispensary business. Therefore, this use requires 2 + 20 + 5 = 27 spaces. That is equivalent to a 7.02 space per 1000 square foot ratio. The property can only accommodate 16 spaces - therefore it is 69% under parked. The application also does not meet the minimum employee parking requirement of 5 spaces (they are only providing 4 spaces).

There are other troubling issues such as adjacency to a bus stop across the street used by numerous families and children, and an inevitable increase in crime issues.

These major environmental and code violation issues should have PRMD terminating this application immediately. This letter is not written in opposition to cannabis dispensaries which are allowed by the Sonoma County Municipal Code. This letter is IN OPPOSITION to allowing a cannabis dispensary in a 99.9% zoned residential neighborhood with many families and hundreds of children and which is in violation of the Sonoma County Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Name Address

> 155 22 Maplewood DR. SONOMA, CA 95476

Page 2

From: Steve Rosenblatt
To: Crystal Acker
Subject: Cannabis Dispensary
Date: March 23, 2021 5:07:00 PM

Dear Crystal,

For the past 32 years my wife and I have lived 2 minutes away from the proposed cannabis dispensary. When the vote to legalize cannabis was on the ballot, we felt that this might be an opportunity to help shut down the trafficking of drugs across our borders and we voted in its favor. Little did we think that our quiet neighborhood would become a center many of those that frequent this kind of a facility. No question there are many who use cannabis for medical causes, including us at times. There is no question that there are also many less desirable patrons that would enter our neighborhood as they would if it were a local pub. I continue to refer to this as a neighborhood. With the exception of a tiny food market, there is not a commercial business within a few miles of the proposed site.

I favor the placement of a dispensary in a shopping center or a strip mall as they are designed for traffic, parking, and all that goes with a business community. This is not a business community. It is my home and we strongly oppose the acceptance of anything that would diminish or destroy what we have enjoyed all these years.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Steve Rosenblatt

1741 Morningside Mountain Road Glen Ellen, CA 95442 707-529-9811

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Paul Morrison To: Crystal Acker

Cc: "dave.palmgren1@gmail.com"; sonmmary@aol.com; ronjudysmalley@yahoo.com; Karla Noyes; Kate Eagles;

<u>Judy Condon (glenellenjudy@gmail.com); "Pam Palmgren"; Write2meri@yahoo.com; "David Gold"</u>

(dagnabit 94906@yahoo.com)

Subject: Protest letters against Cannabis Dispensary UPC17-0094

Date: March 18, 2021 8:22:20 AM Attachments: Cannabis signed forms.pdf Cannabis signature pages.pdf

More Cannabis signature pages.pdf

Hi Crystal,

We have more than 100 property owners (see the attached protest letters) in our neighborhood that are adamantly against this type of use in a 99% residential neighborhood. This site is only 57 feet away from a large apartment complex, where dozens of kids go to the Madrone Market every day - directly bordering the proposed Cannabis Dispensary. They are walking down a sidewalk to get to the market and can stay on the same sidewalk to access the proposed Dispensary, just feet away. In addition, the transit bus stop and the school bus stop is at the dispensary, so kids will walk by the front door of this proposed dispensary site every day. There are a reasons why these sites are to maintain distances from schools, parks and residential facilities, and this site is in direct conflict with why these municipal codes have been written.

This proposed use is unacceptable in a very densely populated residential neighborhood and this use must be stopped. Please understand that the municipal code was written for a reason and must be followed to protect the residents of our residential neighborhood. These facilities need to be in commercial locations away from people's homes and families.

This is just one of the reasons why all these residents have signed a petition to protest this proposed use in our residential neighborhood. Please file these petitions (protest letters) with the rest of the protest letters against UPC17-0094.

Thank you,

28 year resident of this neighborhood Paul and Liz Morrison 976 Glenwood Dr 707-337-1106

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Print Name

15736 A2000 100

Address

12/23 18

THE BOTTOM LINE

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Juste Yan Win	
Sign Name	
Tijska Van Wyk	
Print Name	
15234 Arnold DV	
Address	
12/23/2018	
Date / /	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Julienne H Michelena

Sign Name

Julienne H Michelena

Print Name

15230 ARNOLD DR Glan Ellen CA

Address

12-23-18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.
Col Vellari
Sign Name
Fol SZKARI
Print Name
15140 HRNOW DR.
Address
12/23/18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Dominic Nuccio

Print Name

15/170 Arnold Dr. Glen Ellen

Address

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Emily Robledo

Print Name

Address

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

120-4	
Sign Name	
Osvalde Robledo	
Print Name	
937 Sonoma Glen Circle	
Address	
17/23/2018	
Date / /	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Lauria ESTO
Sign Name Sign Name
Laurie E. Pile
Print Name
951 Sonoma Glen Circle, GenEllen 95442
Address /
11-9-18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

ma fedoral
Sign Name
MOILY FEDDRCHAK
Print Name
942 Sonoma Often Cir
Address Over Calif
2/33/18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.
Hory Latherme Bruger
Sign Name
Mary Katherine Dreyer
Print Name
974 Sonoma Glencir. Glen Eller, CA 95442
Address
12-23-18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

| Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign Name | Sign N

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Row Smalley

Print Name

15232 Arwold Dr Glen Ellen CH

Address

12/27/18

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.
Burch Pasquini
Print Name
1052 Sonomy Hen (rela, blen Ellen (A 95492
Address
12/2/19
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Sign Name	
Tchanne Banon Print Name	
1024 Sonoma Clar Circle Clen Ellen, CA	95442
Address	
1/2/19 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Christina Faustino

Print Name

1000 Sonoma Glen Cer Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Ta Milly	
Sign Name	
Jony Miller	
Print Name	
Address	
1 tudi ess	
1-2-19 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Comme & Soull	
Sign Name	
Timmu A. SERABLIN	
Print Name	14
1976 Spraft Jonomo Then Cit	Chef Ellm Ca.
Address	954/5
Date 1/2 0019	10

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Courtney Cargile

Print Name

1114 Sonoma Glen Circle Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

1/2/19

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.



This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.



This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.

- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Vame	
GORDON MORTENSEN Print Name	
Address SONOMA	
Date / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	

Regardless of whether the applicant is spreading false information the Community. I am opposed to the two dispensions being located near our neighborhood. See Tens Starred above (A).

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.



Date

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
 - This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
 - ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Cristine Mortensen

Print Name

949 Caton Court Sonoma

Address

* THESE ARE MY MAIN OBSECTIONS

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- ➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Vever R Barrie

Print Name

998 Glenwood Dr.

Address

12-29-18 Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Exi. Ma Jack	
Sign Name	
EBIZ MeVey	
Print Name	
946 CAEN CBI - SONOMA	
Address	
12/29/18	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

DARRELL OSBOURN

Print Name

912 CATON CT. SONOMA, CA. 95476

Address

12-28-18

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothesen Elyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMID..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Sign Name

Programme

Address

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Hyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC coning, sethacks. In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: 'The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical. separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensity is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- I his proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CAs

Dave Palmgren Dave Palmgren

964 Glenwood Drive Sonoma CA.
Iddress 95476

11/6/18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Print Name

Sigh Name

Address

11/29/2018

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance of 89 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary Could increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Kasen Litzenberg

Print Name

917 Gilen wood Drive

Address

11/8/18

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance o'189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

May M' Comel	
Sign Name	
Print Name Mc Connell	
957 Glen Wood DR.	SONOMO 9547
Address	
11/8/2018 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Sign Nar	Tails oyes
Print Na	Karla Noyes
Address	15549 Brookview Dr., Songna 95476
Date	11/6/18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- ➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

MARK T. PALLIS

Print Name

16557 Arnold Dr
Sonoma, CA 95476

Address

May 29, 2018

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Carely-leeens

Cavolyn Collins

Print Name

16557 Arnold Dr Sonoma, CA 95476

Address

30 nor 2018

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- > This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

De A-			
Sign Name	Robin		
Print Name	1/4	CI	- N
13756 Arnold Drive	2A Men	Ellen,	CH
Address $\frac{12}{3} \frac{18}{18}$ Date			

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Sign Name

Sign Name

Address

12 9 18

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- ➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Dr. Lor	rena M. Reinhourdt	
Sign Name		
Dr. Lore	ena M. Reinhardt	
13790 Address	Williams Road, Glen Ellen, CA 954	42
	per 27, 2018	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Thirthy C. McIntyre are Diane Mc Shipe

Timothy C. McIntyre Diane Mc Intyre

Print Name

155 22 Maplewood Dr. Sonoma, CA 95476

Address

12/28/18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Perove The Artyro	
Sign Name	
Diane Mc INTYRE Print Name	
15522 Maplewood DR. Sonoma, CA 95476 Address	
12/28/18 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- > This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Judy Condon	
Judy Condon Sign Name	
Print Name	
Address	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.				
Owen (dward	Regile	Edward		
Sign Name	0 = 0	E DWARDS		
GWEN EDWARDS	REGINE	E JW(IR)		
Print Name	0			
830 MADRINE	RD	\sim		
Address				
12/29/18		1		
Date				

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County lowe and Ordinance 6189 including the Journal Services of County lower and Ordinance 6189 including stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties one me dispensary a evolution of the bostoms County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Somma County Code proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school to before adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood—this is

 Setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Brenda Buckerfield

Brenda Buckerfield

MODO MORNING Side Mt, Rd

Maria Maria

Date

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sanoma County laws and Ordinance 6180 including 18% tomage sections. We their Saturnal Frees Cross & Laws and Ordinance 6180 including stated: "The application complies with Sanoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sanoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the FEMIL..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet,

- ➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between these properties and the dispensary a violation of the bonnia County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proper and an apoperty that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and accessing traffic in an area which is 99 9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Seneral County Code of Onlineages has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Print Name

Thomas Mensing

Morning Side MTA Bd

Morning Side MTA Bd

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

LISA CHRETTA

Print Name

1000 MURNINGSIAE MUNTAIN DR GE 95442

Address

11.5 18

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Sign Name
Susan Catalla

Print Name

1407 Morningsud & Mandr. Slen Ellen 95442

Address

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Mark Davidov

Sign/Name

Mark Davidov

Print Name

1407 Maryazida Mountaia Gle, Ello, Ca. 15442

Address

1//3/18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

mengo len stra veren	
Sign Name	
Margo Van Staaveren	
Print Name	
1877 Morningside MM. Dre, Glan Ellen	
Address	
11/6/18	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Don Van Staaveren	
Print Name	
1877 Morningside Mountain Road	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- ➤ This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.
Gerallin RBnah
Sign Name
GERADINE R BRINTON
Print Name
2600 Morningside Mr. Dr
Address
11-7-18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- Fig. This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Cannabic Die	this Memorandum stapensary at the corner H. Shew	of Arnold Drive and A		
	itephen H. Sl		*	
1001	h B. Sherer			
1750 P	1 orningside	Mt., Glen	Ellen, C	95442
Address			•	
$\frac{1}{2}$	(8)			

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothever Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, C.	
0.24	
Sign Name	
David Noland	
Print Name	
100 Oso Tea, 1 Rad, Glen Ellen, CA 95442	
Address	
11/2/18	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

	Julie Oleson	
Sign Name		
	Julie Oleson	
Print Name		
	1700 Morningside Mtn., Glen Ellen	
Address	3	
	Nov. 3, 2018	
Date		

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Sout Shouts.	
Sign Name	
Robert Duste	
Print Name	
3350 Morningside Mountain, Glen Ellen, CA 95442	
Address	
11/2/18	
Data	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Maron 1. Dusti	
Sign Name	-
Sharon Duste	
Print Name	5
3350 Morningside Mountain, Glen Ellen, CA 95442	
Address	
11/2/18	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

VICTOR 1. REUS, M.D.

Print Name

3585 HONNINGSIDE 18T. DR. GLEN ELLEN, CA

Address

11 1 18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

STEPHEN ROSENBLATI

Print Name

1741 MORRINGSINX MONTAIN ROOM SEN EIGHT CA

Address

11/1/2018

Date

415-48415/22

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Barry Swain

Print Name

3475 VIGILANTE R.D. GLEN FILEN 95442

Address

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

	Hallip Cogles
Sign Name	
Print Name	Phillip Eagles
	983 Glenwood Drive, Sonoma, Ca, 95476
Address	
Date	1.1.19

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Sign Name

Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

		32 0				28 K	27 R	26 J							19 (18 A	17 T	16 J	15 K	14 N	13 A	12 E	11 I	10 [9 J	8	7 E			4 P		2 I		#
Robert	Dulce	Gregory	deborah	john	Sarah	Kate		Juanita	Jan	Judy	Joshua	Loralee	Diana	Conn	Geri	Anea	Tim	Jennifer	Kim	Maribeth	Avelina	Elias	Lorena	Deborah	Josh	Kathryn	Beverly	Kristina	Moriah	Pat	Pamela	Dave	Karla	irst name
Goerzen	Silvi	Silvi	emery	emery	Rafanelli	Eagles	Cisneros	Cisneros	Arensmeier	Condon	Palmgren	Wellington	Booker	Dunning	Ruonavaara	Kamahele	Arensmeier	Hardister	Balchinas	Byerley	Casolla	Casolla	Reinhardt	Nitasaka	Holtzman	Deusterman	Aabjerg	Reguero Garris	Dunning	Olson	Palmgren	Palmgren	Noyes	First name Last name
The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. [4-11-18 note: there will be a traffic study.] 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. [4-11-18 note: the use permit applicant will have the legally required parking spaces for customers (12) and employees (5).] We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the			A very wrong decision for so many reasonsjust because someone want to buy a building, doesn't mean it truely serves the purpose desired!what a way to disrupt a beautiful neighborhoodthis is a major commercial project that needs to be closer to a town.	this neighborhood is all of young children and family'sno	I am a supervisor of The Grove at Glen Ellen and I oppose the proposed location of a marijuana dispensary.	I live immediately across Madrone on Glenwood Drive and we already have parking and Madrone / Glenwood intersection safety issues due to current traffic flows, plus apartment and market overflow parking. With the SDC / Eldridge property also undergoing yet-to-be-determined changes over the next few years, a dispensary is not an appropriate use for this site.			Not good in a residential neighborhood.															I am in complete agreement with all points made herein. An additional point, this property, as a surplus government property, was sold to a Hap Arnold relative in 1997 - in violation of state surplus property law. Perhaps it might now revert to a local nonprofit for the benefit of the community!				Reguero Garrison For reasons 1,2 and 3 I am opposed to a pot dispensary in our neighborhood. This is my home and I share the concerns that the business will negatively impact the families and quality of life in the neighborhood. Sincerely, KRG		Wrong location- next to apartment buildings with lots of children. I live right here! The traffic is crazy here some days and cars are parked solidly up and down the street				Comment

I am in complete agreement with all points made herein. An additional point, this property, as a surplus government pro- violation of state surplus property law. Perhaps it might now revert to a local nonprofit for the benefit of the community!
I am strongly opposed to a pot dispensary in this neighborhood.
Put it in a business park, out on 12, on the square but NOT in a residential zone.
Not the place to put this business!
Comment

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

Name <u>Please print</u>	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
Ryan Garrison	ryanvgarrison@gmail.com 95476	92476		Rymani	
STEVEN PROBLE	S.R. PROEL WOMERST NAT	26426		Soul	9
Bill Wilson	white O Comeass, met	95471		CAR.	
France Joe Brinz	France Joe Briss francepressing gravit rom	asyn		19pm	
FARRY SHAMEY	BARRY SHAME YELLOWALL COP	3MB		tompled,	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Marjorie Phyenika	Summe Mundy	JOHN MUND	Name Please print
	mundynousy @ gmail, c	UDDSOND MAND DASI MUNICA	Email Please print
95442 5	24,56 W.D	STOP SCA	Zip Code
52290 Donnell Kn	Judy 707-364-7665		No Email? Full Address Please
Marjone & hier	Judy Sport	Molule	Signature
100	1		Tick to re- ceive info*

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to
CHEVE LASLEY	LASLEYSTENED CAMPILLON 95476	m 95474		A Marine	ceive info*
O Alva Donneller	Other Demueller David 12442 Capt.com	94476		Ist claude	
Weye Fy	Wileye Fry Joyne Dines Fry Com	Prote	1	Book	
DAVID FRY	Dave @ Dave Fry. com 95476	94456		Havids. Try	
Stabon Rindels	Rindels Dive exed Zebra agmail.com 95976	m95476		Thurs Pindols	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
Nancy Padion	nancy padiag annal.	95442	5430 0'DENNC11	n. Puchan	<
Blanchardo	Chamchardo hip mabro com 95442	95442		Kanal	
Meridity Ma	Meridita Marc Write 2 merio yahoo. con 95442 415-	95442	415-264-0704	1	<
	sond Crystali amail				

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re-
0, FLDWARDS	1. EDWARDS Overredus of granticom 9544 830 MADRONERO	7456	B30 MADRONE RD) Chiarto	1
B. O'Malley	emlagh 10 e gmail, com	95476	GOOMSTOWN GOSSI	B. o' Melley	
SUSAN			MADIENDOU DR	the sale)

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
DOWNEL BOOKER	DIBOOK326GMAN-COM	95476		Sould In Broken	

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory

Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

Published by — Updated automatically every 5 minutes

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
Jania Hanson	bug@sonic. net	95476		Actamo-	
Debra Emery	debraemery@earthlink.net 95442	95442		Wa amer	
Phyllis Bennet	and assa o for con	9L456		Phylain & Kanner	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not

appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop.

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
Christi Vieira de Curi el	evieiradecuriel egmail.	95442		Cle Nell	V
	jajessop 3 agmail.com			gudeth a fen	P
	marocs 20 hotmail.com			Hario Cen d	V
	;				

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

Published by Google Drive - Report Abuse - Updated automatically every 5 minutes

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive Info*
Jennifer	jennifer burrell@livc.compasyuz	वेडपप्ट		A BOUL	
Tim McIntyle	brown town ee@ Kouso. Gr 954%	954%		1 Lui M Sween	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

	Melle		95442	glandlan Rich a Comcostanet	Rick Duhon
	Unghi		2442	my turnnow@comcast, net	Wicki Nightingde
		95412 963 gradone 12d	95442	tcordelics@yahoo.com	Tim Cordelles
		TRTRICION Elley	95422	8 3102 500 9 4 choo 200 95422 /5-142 Marty Dr	605hm 0
	City	95476 Senoma ca 95476	21.45b	Anahidiego 777453 à yahoo.	Esmeral da Contrevas
ya ki sa	ca. 95476 Miguel Contras	off should by htb	26450	113081 (cutoses Migue) mg 2074/06 4211 92476	113081 (chases
Tick to re- ceive info*	Signature	No Email? Full Address Please	Zip Code	Email Please print	Name Please print

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

*

No to a proposed marijuana dispensary at Madrone & Arnold!

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking

Name	Email	Zip	No Email?	Signature	Tick
Please print	Please print	Code	Full Address Please		to re- ceive info*
Dake!!	E/1856 Was of 1000 to the Collis of p	2 202	+5 ct may + 96ESI C/185	b 5+	
	1000				
Cheni	(Well (80) CON	8435	l, ()	Cert	
SIM KREKE	NIA	9542	9542 20 Box 772 G.E.	no of the	
Sabel	Subel nonborn @ ao/. Com	94450		forwort him	
Nila Plexico	nila. plexico@ychoo.com 95476	95476		Markerico	
DIAME MCINTURE	N/A	95476 15522	Sowan (A 95476	D. Moderto	
			-	in you	

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

Name Email Please print Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature
Wareld or key Wenteral att Mid	IT Ned Sign		4:110
swasa Veluson	95474	No esnel	0
superial Gozales.	9547	Noemel	BARBOL
oxles/Mo	85926		Lowblade
Maria Ellips	独构		Maria F Mis
hehicia (lelasouez	PCA 92456	the Javer, st.	It will

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Anonda Keller Kollrussonet Con 95476	Morganhus @ GMAIL. C	Chien Mellow ERIZMC @CompC H JT. NET 95476 946 CA	ONTHY DUE HERTY Cathyda sonic, not 95476	SUNDARTIES OMSUNNYOMAC. COM 95476 915 CA	PAUL MORRISON PMORRISON @MARMUATER. ON 15-	Name Email Zip Please print Please print Code
95476 LSSIGS Maduma	25476 1557/ Miphewas Dy	946 CATON CT	95476 924 CATON CT	6 95 CATONCT	976 GENWOOD De	No Email? Full Address Please
Alberta Xall	Wal Myriles	Elin holand	a Sambet	Museam	5	Signature Tick to receive

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email <u>Please print</u>	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature
William H.DUsod, MS	wichita.who.28@gmail.c	IR		WHOWN
Showwhlee	Shannarlee One-ion	9844	95442 14326 Amodor	Mela
Ellon McKinsh	Ellan McKinisht ellenbruckmahtagmaliann	2445		Ellen hellerig
Arthur Pausa	baseline by vom. com	84HSB		All Day
Michael McClure		95442	P.O. Box 681 Glen Ellen, Or 95442 Michael McClam	Michel McClen
Alice Wicker		95442	95442 BHUTKUCA	peal_

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name <u>Please print</u>	Email <u>Please print</u>	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature
Mar Hilo	M. M. M.	95471 428	428 Andrieux st	Markey Live
			1385 Jones at	
Karen Mill			Sonoma en 95476	Torrentpull
1	-		820 Cherry Ave	5
Laure Janua			Schoma CA	Tanvitan
Wichnial McGaeta		05476	ast le sonome con asse Julius	Showing the
りれんのなままえや		95476 354 (254 (167 St.	
Vack Gray		8476	SX Overson	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Name Please print	Email <u>Please print</u>	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
					1
Maky Mc Connyll	SAADTA SOMMMERY COAL	25426		mil & sel	
susanne Kanthold			938 Colones	Lustyld	<
Cara Young	Cara. Young & Somma -	954%		Corn Young	5
Tamasap			925 Glanwas	Glenwood Drsonoma	Z
Velazguez	14			ternasaris	Lord
Hartman	paul.hartman@sbcglobal.net 95+76	95476	112 Glenwood Dr	Sherpl Idontman	

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the correr of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
RUTH VI SCHER	RUTH VI SCHER RUTHV 2600 gmail. com 95476	944Sb		Rush Sucher	
Jane Noves	Janensees Cott. net 95476	2456		June Nous	
Manniller	Salpviso Smail com	95476		Man Stamuller	
Es moralda Moseky Char	Sanchez esmerpholaesbeglobalinet	95476		Emerada Mosel	7
JAMES SPARKS		95476	830 5th STEAST	James Grand	
RYAN RINDERS	cyanscinduls@ smail.com	75472	7/1	Par S. Rich	

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email <u>Please print</u>	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
Josh Holtzman	Imholtzmaneg mail.com	16456			
BeverlyAnbjer	1. Ce	m,		Se /)
Callic Meder	Callic Meder calliemeder Dymedom 95476	95476		tou	1
Jeff Hot Have	Seff the Shiltox670 attract 15476	75476		Allien	
Mile Crosice	all Madrow Kd	9547%		Michael Cooses	
Nichelas Burton	Nicholas Barton (892 Madrone 21)	95476		BINE	

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive
				info*
	2442	Chen Ellen, Ca.	Madin	7
	CH156	951 Stroma Glen Circle Glentilen	Same	
		129 Fetters Ave		
	athol	Sonum a (enno	
	95476	20324 Mabry LA 5000 Mabry LA	Druk.	
	of supp	45le san babriel Da	SWW	
	95442	Cler Eller (A	June of orlan	
	son à svusdea.		25447 25447 25447 25476 25476	Code Full Address Please Code Full Address Please RS447 Glen Ellem General Co. RS447 Glen Ellem General Co. RS447 Circle Glen Ellen General RS476 Conna Rabry La RS476 Lo324 Mabry La RS477 Lo3

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

Stephanie	KERLECHEAN	FREDIN FATAM	Rick Hoton	MARINA PALMGREN	GUNNARL. PALM CREW	Name Please print
Jewels Hireking		PRNYUKI & COMOTOT			20	Email <u>Please print</u>
JEH519	9547	754%	25476	95476	95476	Zip Code
	1031 LARK AVE		50 74 July STEOST	962 GLENMOON DR. SOKOMK, CA	SONOM, CA	No Email? Full Address Please
John Co	MON	A STATION	CHA	Mostle Colores	Muse X.	Signature
				k,		Tick to re- ceive info*

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already 2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking.

which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking.

Name Please print	Email Please print	Zip Code	No Email? Full Address Please	Signature	Tick to re- ceive info*
878QUIE 0142	NIA	94558	1750 0541	PARK AVE SANDES de RSG	
MARIA DIAZ	N/A	94588 1730	MURDA CH. MAYS byates	mayor byde for	
EMAR DIAZ	W/A	94558	94558 2481 BEACH ST. OMA, D.	omai bas.	
Joanna Pamirez	M/A	2442	15750 HWY 13		
Easmo Camiez	MA	64426	CIPACION DEL		
Junewa Kirtley	W/A	95476 Sono	932 Madroneld	Junes of List	\$P

* We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

TO: THE SONOMA VALLEY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION AND SUP. SUSAN GORIN

these reasons: 1.) The sale of marijuana is not appropriate in a residential neighborhood with children nearby, including a school bus stop. The proposed marijuana dispensary in the old Fire House at the corner of Madrone & Arnold is a TERRIBLE LOCATION for this retail business for

2.) The additional traffic at that intersection including the Madrone Market's delivery trucks and customers, and the coming and going of the which would deprive apartment residents of their much needed off-street parking. busy intersection much more dangerous. 3.) There is inadequate parking on the site. Employees and customers will be forced to park on the street dispensary's customers (both medical and recreational users) into their parking lot and their overflow parking on the street, would make an already

We, the undersigned, recommend that the owner of this business relocate the proposed business to ANOTHER LOCATION perhaps the industrially-zoned area on 8th Street East which has no residents nearby, relatively little traffic and plenty of parking

Gary Steiner	MARILYN LEWIS	Jane Joss	Fred Holdman	JOHN FLEGGERAGE	Name Please print S COTT O CON
Sonomandissale grail (m 9547 8450	MARILYN LEWIS marilynfam/amadicon 95476 Son			John Freschmance idfe joffection	Email Please print SCOTIMON 689 & ATTINET
9547	95476	9547L	95471	95476	Zip Code 95476
545 Oakward DR Somance 14	846 ORWOOD DR Schare CA	838 Oukwood Fr Sonume CA			No Email? Full Address Please
Mary	Wonly Jan	he Com	(Xessa)	F	Signature
V		7	V		Tick to re- ceive

Please return petition signatures to: Karla Noyes, 15549 Brookview Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476

^{*} We will not pass your information to anyone except the Sonoma Valley Citizens Advisory Commission and Supervisor Susan Gorin

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Sig

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Sign Name	
Sharon I. Church	
Print Name	
15241 Marty Dr. Glen Ellen	
Address	
1/9/18	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert
Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.
Vick Sesservit
Sign Name
vicki Bazehsart
Print Name
15241 Marky Dr. Clew Eller, CA 95444
Address
11/10/18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Sign Name

Mindth Macc

Print Name

Star Claula Dr. Blan Edw CA 95442

Address

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical-separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Sign Name Sign Name		
Sign Name		
Linda L. Ingles		
Print Name		
15116 Marty Dr. "	21	
Address		
12/13/18		
Date		

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

1 approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name Sign Name Karyl Carter
Print Name

833 Marty Drive, Glen Ellen, CA 95442

Address

12/22/18
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

15aac Carter

Print Name

833 Marty Drive, Glen Elen, CA 95442

Address

12-22-18

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert

Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Daven W. Goodman

Print Name

15399 Thomas St. Glen Ellen, Ca 95442

Address

1-10-19

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Helena Goalman
Sign Name

Helena Coorlinar - Chavez

Print Name

15399 Thomas Street Gen Filen (a. 95442

1 / 10 / 19 Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Laren Dordman	
Sign Name	
Karen Goodman	
Print Name	
825 Madrone Road Glen Ellen, C	a
Address	
Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Dans Hoodman	
Sign Name /	
DON W. Goodman	
Print Name	
P.O. B. 38 Eldroge, Ca. 9543)	
Address	
1/9/19 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

LAWRENCE H. DAVIS

Print Name

7101 SOHOMA MOUNTAIN NOAD

Address

JAHURAY 7, 2019

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

USUR Daugh Sign Name

Llow Vaughn

Print Name

PO BOX 2044 Glen Sun GA 95442

Address

1/1/19

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Att Paw		
Sign Name		
Arthur Dawson		
Print Name		
5082 Warm Springs Kd. / P.O. Box 16 Flan	Elle CA	95442
Address	- 1	
Jan 7, 2019		
Date		

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

SPRICE EDD
Sign Name
Shilo Porter- Elliott
Print Name
4460 Lareside Per Gen Eller
Address
1-7-19
Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary - a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- > This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

Sign Name

Soyce Clover

Print Name

248 SYLVA DRIVE GLENELLEN

Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Ellen	(B) /	rekn	ight			
Sign Name						
Elle Print Name	en B	Mck	snight			
	4111	Rd	Glen Elen	CA	95442	
Address						
Date 1/7	2619					

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Date

Sign Name

Bushara Chathan

Print Name

15244 Amold Dr Glen Ellen Ca 95442

Address

1-5-19

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Wanda Snits	
Sign Name	
Wanda Smith	
Print Name	
	Rosa
Address	
1/7/19 Date	

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- > This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

I approve of this Memorandum stating the True Facts about the proposed Apothevert Cannabis Dispensary at the corner of Arnold Drive and Madrone Road, Glen Ellen, CA.

Sign Name

S172 O'Donnell Lame, Glen Ellen, CA Address

1/7/2019 Date

The Applicant has consistently spread false information to the Community. In the Apothevert Flyer, the applicant stated: "Adherence to all Sonoma County laws and Ordinance 6189 including LC zoning, setbacks." In their Kenwood Press Guest Editorial on August 15, 2018, the applicant stated: "The application complies with Sonoma County Limited Commercial Zoning requirements, meets all regulations issued by the Sonoma County Board of Zoning Adjustments and the PRMD..."

These statements are false. PRMD has not even processed the application yet.

- > This proposed dispensary is within 100 feet of five residential properties with no physical separation between those properties and the dispensary a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary does not belong in a residential neighborhood.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary is under parked by 69% a violation of the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances. This dispensary is being proposed on a property that is too small to provide proper parking.
- > This proposed dispensary has other numerous challenges such as being adjacent to a school bus stop and increasing traffic in an area which is 99.9% residential. This dispensary does not belong adjacent to a residential neighborhood with hundreds of children.
- ➤ This proposed dispensary would bring crime into a residential neighborhood this is exactly why the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances has established a 100-foot residential setback. This dispensary would increase crime in a residential neighborhood.

Since this proposed dispensary is not in compliance with the Sonoma County Code of Ordinances, this application should not be processed by the County.

Mul // Melma
Sign Name
RACHER KLIGERMAN
Print Name
5172 O'DINNETC CANE, GE CA
Address
1/1/19
Date /