3. CITY OF SANTA ROSA

3.1 LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING TEAM

Primary Point of Contact

Shari Meads, City Planner 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Room 3 Santa Rosa, California 95404 Telephone: 707-544-4665

e-mail Address: smeads@srcity.org

Alternate Point of Contact

Amy Lyle, Supervising Planner 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Room 3 Santa Rosa, California 95404 Telephone: 707-544-3410

e-mail Address: alyle@srcity.org

This annex was developed by the primary point of contact, Shari Meads, with assistance from the members of the local mitigation planning team listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Team Members				
Name	Title	Name	Title	
Neil Bregman	Emergency Preparedness Manager	Mike Enright	Supervising Engineer	
Brittany Miller	Deputy Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	Jessie Oswald	Chief Building Official	
Paul Lowenthal	Assistant Fire Marshal	Ron Simi	Streets Crew Supervisor	
Scott Moon	Division Chief Fire Marshal	Doug Williams	Facilities Maintenance Coordinator	
Kemplen Robbins	Assistant Fire Marshal	Marcus Sprague	Police Lieutenant	
Megan Basinger	Housing & Community Services Manager	Joe Schiavone	Deputy Director Water & Sewer Operations	
Kelley Magnuson	Deputy Director, Recreation	Andy Allen	Supervising Engineer	
Kelli Kuykendall	Housing & Community Services Manager	Dominique Blanquie	Risk Manager	
Chris Greene	GIS Analyst	Sean McNeil	Deputy Director, Environmental Services	
Mike Hargreaves	GIS Analyst	Colin Close	Senior Water Resource Planner	
Matt Stull	GIS Coordinator	Ron Marincic	Utility System Superintendent Water	
Shari Meads	City Planner	Jason Tibbals	Utility System Superintendent Water	
Amy Lyle	Supervising Planner	Kyle Philip	Police Sergeant	
Andy Abel	Assistant City Attorney	Jeremy Gundy	Deputy Director, Field Services	

3.2 JURISDICTION PROFILE

3.2.1 Location and Features

The City of Santa Rosa is in central Sonoma County, located approximately 55-miles north of San Francisco along US Highway 101 and 20 air miles from the Pacific Ocean. Santa Rosa is bisected by US Highway 101, which runs north to south through the City and State Route (SR) 12 which runs east to west across the City,

roughly dividing Santa Rosa into quadrants. Santa Rosa is bordered by unincorporated Sonoma County on most sides. Sebastopol is to the southwest of the City and Rohnert Park is located approximately eight-miles due south. Prominent terrain features east of the City include Bennett Peak, Mount Hood, and Sonoma and Taylor Mountains. Santa Rosa is the largest city in Sonoma County with an urban growth boundary (UGB) that spans 42.81-square-miles.

Santa Rosa is in the California region known as the Coast Ranges geomorphic province and lies in the Santa Rosa Plain to the east of the Laguna de Santa Rosa catchment basin which is a major tributary of the Russian River watershed.

The City is home to the Santa Rosa Junior College, Keysight Technologies, La Tortilla Factory, Amy's Kitchen, the Charles M. Schulz Museum, Luther Burbank Home & Gardens, the first Nissan green auto dealership in the United States, Medtronic's Aortic and Peripheral Disease Management and Coronary and Structural Heart Disease Management business units, and many world-renowned wineries and breweries.

According to the Köppen climate classification, Santa Rosa has a warm-summer Mediterranean climate with cool, wet winters and warm, dry summers. Fog and low overcast often move in from the Pacific Ocean during the mornings and evenings usually clearing up to warm, sunny weather by late morning or noon. Santa Rosa's average annual rainfall is 32.20-inches falling on 74 days annually.

3.2.2 History

Santa Rosa has a rich history and cultural heritage. Santa Rosa was a site of Native American habitation beginning approximately 7,000 years ago. The Pomo, Miwok, and Wappo Indians populated the area before Spanish settlement in the early 1800s. The first deeded land was held as the Rancho Cabeza de Santa Rosa and was given to Señora Maria Ignacia Lopez de Carrillo by Spanish authorities in 1841. The Gold Rush, along with California's statehood, initially brought an influx of travelers along the roads past Santa Rosa. The region's rich soils drew in numerous passersby creating a flourishing agricultural community. Commercial ventures followed to support the new economy creating the City's town square in the early 1850s.

In 1867, the Sonoma County's Board of Supervisors recognized Santa Rosa as an incorporated city and in 1868, the state officially confirmed the incorporation. Santa Rosa is the County seat.

According to the US Census, in 1870 Santa Rosa was the eighth largest city in California, and the county seat of one of the most populous counties in the state. Growth and development after that was generally steady but not rapid. The City continued to grow when other early population centers declined or stagnated, but by 1900, it was being overtaken by many of the other newer population centers in the San Francisco Bay Area and Southern California. The 1906 San Francisco Earthquake sent Santa Rosa into a period of economic downturn but had little effect on the population. Although the town reconstructed most decimated buildings, the Great Depression two decades later further stymied growth and economic development.

Santa Rosa grew substantially following World War II with wartime workers flocking to the Bay Area. The City was also a convenient location for San Francisco travelers bound for the Russian River, and population increased by two-thirds between 1950 and 1970. Between 1970 and 2000, Santa Rosa grew by approximately 3,000 residents a year—triple the average growth during the previous 20 years. Most of this growth was in new suburban neighborhoods to the north, west, and south of downtown, with additional suburban and rural growth along the hillsides and valleys to the east and northeast. Between 2000 and 2010, Santa Rosa grew at an average

3-2 TETRA TECH

of 2,000 residents a year, but growth slowed to an average of less than 900 new residents per year between 2010 and 2016. The population has decreased approximately two percent between 2017 and 2020.

In October 2017, approximately five percent of Santa Rosa's homes were destroyed in the Tubbs and Nuns wildfires. Three years later approximately 50-percent of homes have been rebuilt and another 30-percent are in some phase of the rebuild process. Twenty percent, or roughly 500 parcels, are still inactive in the rebuild areas. As of September 15, 2020, 31-percent of the inactive parcels had been sold. It is expected that most outstanding parcels will eventually submit a permit to rebuild, but due to a variety of circumstances, these may manifest at different times. In September 2020, the Glass Fire destroyed an additional 34 housing units and damaged 23 residential units in eastern Santa Rosa.

Santa Rosa has remained an economic and cultural center for Sonoma County in the more than 150 years since inception. The rich agricultural setting that initially drew settlers to the area has continued to flourish and is a center for the tourism economy surrounding the region's world-renowned wineries and breweries.

3.2.3 Governing Body Format

The City of Santa Rosa is governed by a seven-person City Council (Council). The Council elects one of its members to serve as Mayor, the executive head of the City; and appoints a City Manager, the administrative head of the City. The City consists of 17 departments/divisions: the City Attorney's Office, the City Manager's Office, Communications &Intergovernmental Relations, Community Engagement, Finance, Fire, Housing & Community Services, Human Resources, Information Technology, Parking, Planning and Economic Development, Police, Real Estate Services, Recreation & Parks, Transportation and Public Works, and Water.

The City Council assumes responsibility for the adoption of this plan; and the City Manager will oversee its implementation.

3.3 CURRENT TRENDS

3.3.1 Population

According to the California Department of Finance, the population of Santa Rosa as of January 2020 was 173,628. The City experienced small but steady population gains between the years 2000 and 2016, growing under one percent per year on average. From January 2017 through January 2020, Santa Rosa experienced a two-percent overall population loss but remains the fifth most populous San Francisco Bay Area city.

3.3.2 Development

Anticipated development levels for Santa Rosa are in the lower-moderate to moderate range consisting primarily of residential development. Most recent development has been infill. The City does not have vast tracks of vacant land for residential or industrial subdivision development.

The City of Santa Rosa adopted its General Plan in November of 2009 and is currently working on a comprehensive update which will focus on issues of the greatest concern to the community including how to make the City even more sustainable, resilient, healthy, and inclusive. City actions, such as those relating to land use, annexations, zoning, and capital improvements, must be consistent with the plan. Future growth and development in the City will be managed as identified in the General Plan.

Table 3-2 summarizes development trends in the performance period since the preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan, as well as expected future development trends.

Table 3-2. Rece	ent and Expected Future Developr	ment Tre	ends			
Criterion	Response					
Has your jurisdiction annexed any land since the preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan? If yes, give the estimated area annexed and estimated number of parcels or structures.	Yes Approximately 716 acres including 1,756 parcels					
Is your jurisdiction expected to annex any areas during the performance period of this plan? If yes, describe land areas and dominant uses. If yes, who currently has permitting authority over these areas?	No					
Are any areas targeted for development or major redevelopment in the next five years? If yes, briefly describe, including whether any of the areas are in known hazard risk areas	No					
How many permits for new construction were		2016	2017	2018*	2019*	2020*
issued in your jurisdiction since the	Single Family	84	164	276	232	251
preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan?	Multi-Family	42	177	75	165	309
P.W	Other (including commercial, second dwelling units, inc.)	29	18	88	60	71
	Total *Not including replacement construction after the 2017 wildfires	155	359	439	457	631
Provide the number of new construction permits for each hazard area or provide a qualitative description of where development has occurred.	Residential and non-residential growth has occurred in each of the City's four quadrants since the last Plan update. New residential development within the Wildland Urban Interface includes the Round Barn Village subdivision, a 237-unit multi-family residential development of which approximately 10-percent is currently in construction and Canyon Oaks, a 96-unit condominium project which has been completed. There has been no development in other hazard areas.			unit rently in		
Describe the level of buildout in the jurisdiction, based on your jurisdiction's buildable lands inventory. If no such inventory exists, provide a qualitative description.	The City of Santa Rosa is relatively built out with well-established residential neighborhoods at lower densities than the greater San Francisco Bay Area. Low			city. US o all he		

3.4 CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

This section describes an assessment of existing capabilities for implementing hazard mitigation strategies. The introduction at the beginning of this volume of the hazard mitigation plan describes the components included in the capability assessment and their significance for hazard mitigation planning.

3-4 TETRA TECH

Findings of the capability assessment were reviewed to identify opportunities to expand, initiate or integrate capabilities to further hazard mitigation goals and objectives. Where such opportunities were identified and determined to be feasible, they are included in the action plan. The "Analysis of Mitigation Actions" table in this annex identifies these as community capacity building mitigation actions. The findings of the assessment are presented as follows:

- An assessment of planning and regulatory capabilities is presented in Table 3-3.
- Development and permitting capabilities are presented in Table 3-4.
- An assessment of fiscal capabilities is presented in Table 3-5.
- An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities is presented in Table 3-6.
- An assessment of education and outreach capabilities is presented in Table 3-7.
- Information on National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance is presented in Table 3-8.
- Classifications under various community mitigation programs are presented in Table 3-9.
- The community's adaptive capacity for the impacts of climate change is presented in Table 3-10.

Table 3-3. Planning and Regulatory Capability						
	Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?		
Codes, Ordinances, & Requirements						
Building Code	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
Comment: 2019 California Code with local ame effective January 1, 2020.	endments adopted by	City Council Ordinance N	o. 2019-022 on Noven	ber 19, 2019—		
Zoning Code	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
Comment: Title 20 of the Santa Rosa City Cod 3, 2004 and as subsequently amen		uncil Ordinance No. 3677	on August 3, 2004—ef	fective September		
Subdivisions	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Comment: Title 19 of the Santa Rosa City Cod 11, 1987 and as subsequently ame		uncil Ordinance No. 2622	on August 11, 1987—6	effective September		
Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Comment: Local jurisdiction in the Storm Wai 1996 and as subsequently amende of manuals since July 13, 2005. The Federally mandated Clean Water A	d. The City has provid ne most recent Low Im	led design guidelines for p pact Development Techni	ermanent storm water ical Design Manual is o	features in a series lated May 3, 2017.		
Post-Disaster Recovery	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Comment: FEMA for flood, Stormwater Permit	for State					
Real Estate Disclosure	No	No	Yes	No		
Comment: CA State Civil Code 1102 requires	full disclosure on natui	ral hazard exposure of the	sale/re-sale of all real	property.		
Growth Management	Yes	No	No	No		
Comment: Chapter 21-03 of the Santa Rosa City Code adopted by City Council Ordinance No. 29060 on June 9, 1992 and as subsequently amended.						
Site Plan Review	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Comment: Through Building, Engineering and Planning requirements.						
Environmental Protection	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Comment: Title 17 of the Santa Rosa City Cod Act, Clean Water Act, Endangered						

		Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?
Flood Dama	age Prevention	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	Local jurisdiction in the Storm Water 1996 and as subsequently amended. Water manages the Central Sonoma capacity flood control channels.	City crews maintain	storm drain system and s	some creeks for flood c	apacity. Sonoma
Emergency	Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comment:	City of Santa Rosa Emergency Opera	ations Plan			
Climate Cha	ange	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment:	City Council adopted a Declaration of Climate, Resolution Number 2020-00		cy and Immediate Emerg	ency Mobilization to Re	store a Safe
Other		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comment:					
Planning D	ocuments				
General Pla	ın	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	compliant with Assembly Bill 2140? The current City of Santa Rosa Gene process to comprehensively update to	ral Plan 2035 was ad	dopted in 2009; however	in 2019, the City began	a multi-year
How often i	rovement Plan is the plan updated? Annually The City's Capital Improvement Plan	Yes	No hich is undated annually	Yes	Yes
	ebris Management Plan	No	Yes	No	Yes
Comment:	ions management i lan	140	103	110	103
	or Watershed Plan	No	No	No	Yes
•	The City would like to partner with the risk of flooding.				
Stormwater		No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comment:	The City of Santa Rosa is part of the https://northcoastresourcepartnership				w_appendix.pdf f
	more information.				
	er Management Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comment:	City of Santa Rosa 2015 Urban Wate https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/Vie				PS
	servation Plan	No	No	No	Yes
Comment:	The City of Santa Rosa is participatin	g in a Sonoma Coun	ty led effort to develop ar	HCP for the Santa Ro	sa Plain.
Economic I Comment:	Development Plan	Yes	No	No	No
Shoreline N Comment:	lanagement Plan	No	No	No	No
Community	Wildfire Protection Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment:	City of Santa Rosa Community Wildfi https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/Vie				NPP_91820
Forest Man	agement Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes
	City of Santa Rosa Community Wildfir requirements of the 2003 Healthy For https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/Vie	ests Restoration Act	•	•	exceeds the

3-6 TETRA TECH

		Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?
Climate Act	tion Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comment: Santa Rosa Community-wide Climate Action Plan adopted by City Council Resolution Number 28115 on June 5, 2012 https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/View/10762/Climate-Action-Plan-PDF?bidId= Santa Rosa Municipal Operations Climate Action Plan adopted by City Council Resolution Number 28323 on August 6, 2013 https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/View/10759/Municipal-Climate-Action-Plan-PDF?bidId=					
Emergency	Operations Plan	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Comment:	City of Santa Rosa Emergency Opera	ations Plan			
Threat & Ha	azard Identification & Risk nt (THIRA)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Comment:	Sonoma County is included in the Ba	y Area Urban Areas	Security Initiative		
Post-Disast	ter Recovery Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment:					
Continuity	of Operations Plan	Yes	No	No	No
Comment: City of Santa Rosa Continuity of Operations Plan dated April 2017 https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/View/16433/Continuity-of-Operation-Plan City of Santa Rosa, California Continuity of Operations / Government (COOP/COG) Plan dated March 2020 https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/View/16434/Emergency-Operation-Plan					
Public Heal	th Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment: Performed at County Level					
Other		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comment:					

Table 3-4. Development and Permitting Capability			
Criterion Response			
Does your jurisdiction issue development permits? If no, who does? If yes, which department?	Yes Planning and Economic Development Department		
Does your jurisdiction have the ability to track permits by hazard area?	Yes		
Does your jurisdiction have a buildable lands inventory?	Yes		

Table 3-5. Fiscal Capability				
Financial Resource	Accessible or Eligible to Use?			
Community Development Block Grants	Yes			
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes			
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Yes			
User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas or Electric Service	Yes (Utility User Tax for Gas/Electric; Water/Sewer fees for service we provide)			
Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds	Yes			
Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds	Yes			
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	Yes			
Withhold Public Expenditures in Hazard-Prone Areas	Yes			
State-Sponsored Grant Programs	Yes			
Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers	Yes			

Table 3-6. Administrative and Technical Capability					
Staff/Personnel Resource	Available?	Department/Agency/Position			
Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Planning and Economic Development Department			
Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Planning and Economic Development, Water, and Public Works Departments			
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Planning and Economic Development Department			
Staff with training in benefit/cost analysis	Yes	Finance Department and analysists in other departments (as specified by job description)			
Surveyors	Yes	Public Words Department			
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications	Yes	Information Technology and Water Departments. The City has three GIS Analysts and one Coordinator			
Scientist familiar with natural hazards in local area	Yes	Water Department, Public Works			
Emergency manager	Yes	Fire Department/ Emergency Preparedness Manager			
Grant writers	Yes	Designated staff members within each City department			
Other	N/A	N/A			

Table 3-7. Education and Outreach Capability			
Criterion	Response		
Do you have a public information officer or communications office?	Yes		
Do you have personnel skilled or trained in website development?	Yes		
Do you have hazard mitigation information available on your website? If yes, briefly describe.	 Ves Local Hazard Mitigation Plan: https://srcity.org/540/Local-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan Community Wildfire Protection Plan: https://srcity.org/3114/Community-Wildfire-Protection-Plan Climate Action Planning: https://srcity.org/1634/Climate-Action-Planning Vegetation Management/ defensible space/ home hardening: srcity.org/RainReady and srcity.org/BeRainReady 		
Do you use social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Various City Departments' Twitter, Instagram, Facebook handles are used for hazard mitigation outreach messaging. The City also utilizes a Nextdoor account for hazard mitigation education and outreach. A combination of graphics, video, photos and shared links are utilized for this effort.		
Do you have any citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation? If yes, briefly describe.	No		
Do you have any other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information?	Yes The City currently utilizes the following additional tools for hazard mitigation outreach, and these tactics remain available for future needs:		

3-8 TETRA TECH

Criterion	Response
If yes, briefly describe.	 Media relations strategies to gain earned news coverage for critical hazard mitigation messaging Weekly newsletter distributed via email and SMS messaging; over 90K subscribers are on the distribution list. Water bill inserts and direct mailers Community/neighborhood meetings Paid seasonal radio Public Safety Announcements
Do you have any established warning systems for hazard events? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Information on each of the City's alert and warning systems is available at srcity.org/KnowYourAlerts

Table 3-8. National Flood Insurance Program Compliance			
Criterion	Response		
What local department is responsible for floodplain management?	Planning and Economic Development		
Who is your floodplain administrator? (department/position)	Planning and Economic Development		
	Chief Building Official		
Are any certified floodplain managers on staff in your jurisdiction?	No		
What is the date that your flood damage prevention ordinance was last amended?	2008		
Does your floodplain management program meet or exceed minimum requirements? <i>If exceeds, in what ways?</i>	Meets N/A		
When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit or Community Assistance Contact?	2019		
Does your jurisdiction have any outstanding NFIP compliance violations that need to be addressed?	No		
If so, state what they are. Are any RiskMAP projects currently underway in your jurisdiction?	N/A Yes		
If so, state what they are.	FEMA led update, primarily in urban growth boundary south of City limit		
Do your flood hazard maps adequately address the flood risk within your jurisdiction? <i>If no, state why.</i>	Yes N/A		
Does your floodplain management staff need any assistance or training to support its floodplain management program?	No		
If so, what type of assistance/training is needed?	N/A		
Does your jurisdiction participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)? If yes, is your jurisdiction interested in improving its CRS Classification?	No N/A		
If no, is your jurisdiction interested in iniproving its CR3 classification? If no, is your jurisdiction interested in joining the CRS program?	No		
How many flood insurance policies are in force in your jurisdiction? ^a	157		
What is the insurance in force? What is the premium in force?	\$50,498,400 \$91,924		
How many total loss claims have been filed in your jurisdiction? ^a What were the total payments for losses?	43 \$465,603		
a. According to FEMA statistics as of October 20, 2020			

Table 3-9. Community Classifications					
	Participating?	Classification	Date Classified		
FIPS Code	Yes	0609770098	N/A		
DUNS#	Yes	071879464	N/A		
Community Rating System	No	N/A	N/A		
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule	Yes	85.11—Residential 83.54—Commercial	06/26/2013		
Public Protection	Yes	1/1Y	2016		
Storm Ready	No	N/A	N/A		
Firewise	No	N/A	N/A		

Table 3-10. Adaptive Capacity for Climate Change	
	Jurisdiction
Criterion	Rating ^a
Technical Capacity	
Jurisdiction-level understanding of potential climate change impacts	Medium
Comment: City is engaging in a Climate Adaptation workshop with a non-profit group with a National Science Foundation	
grant January 2021 to identify and dive into local climate change impacts. The City is also updating its Climate Action Plan	
in conjunction with the General Plan update with an anticipated completion date in 2022. Jurisdiction-level monitoring of climate change impacts	Low
Comment: No assigned City staff to this task.	LOW
Technical resources to assess proposed strategies for feasibility and externalities	Low
Comment: No assigned City staff to this task.	LOW
Jurisdiction-level capacity for development of greenhouse gas emissions inventory	Low
Comment:	
Capital planning and land use decisions informed by potential climate impacts	Medium
Comment: All new development projects must be consistent with the City's Community Climate Action Plan adopted in	
2012.	
Participation in regional groups addressing climate risks	High
Comment: Several Planners attend Regional Climate Meetings throughout the year and strategize with other	
professionals in the County.	
Implementation Capacity	
Clear authority/mandate to consider climate change impacts during public decision-making processes	High
Comment: Based on adopted Climate Action Plan (2012) and also the City Council placing implementation of the Climate Action Plan as a Tier One Council Goal. This formed a Council Subcommittee on addressing Climate Action matters.	
Identified strategies for greenhouse gas mitigation efforts	High
Comment: Included in Climate Action Plan (2012) for which all new development projects must be consistent with. There	riigii
are also other measures through CEQA for mitigating GHG impacts.	
Identified strategies for adaptation to impacts	Low
Comment: The adaptation strategies in the 2012 Climate Action Plan are limited. Following the Climate Adaptation	
workshop and updated to the General Plan and Climate Action Plan, additional strategies will be identified and	
implemented.	
Champions for climate action in local government departments	Medium
Comment: Staff members are spread throughout the City who work on various efforts. However, no coordinator exists to spearhead these efforts.	
Political support for implementing climate change adaptation strategies	High
Comment:	

3-10 TETRA TECH

Criterion	Jurisdiction Rating ^a
Financial resources devoted to climate change adaptation	Low
Comment:	
Local authority over sectors likely to be negative impacted Comment:	Unsure
Public Capacity	
Local residents' knowledge of and understanding of climate risk Comment: Challenging to gauge. The 2017, 2019, and 2020 wildfires have brought awareness to increase hazards and the relationship to climate change.	Unsure
Local residents support of adaptation efforts Comment: A number of very committed residents attend Climate Action Subcommittee and Council meetings with stated support for supporting adaptation efforts. However, this may not be representative of the community at large.	Unsure
Local residents' capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Unsure
Local economy current capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Unsure
Local ecosystems capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Unsure
a. High = Capacity exists and is in use; Medium = Capacity may exist, but is not used or could use some improvement; Low = Capacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement; Unsure= Not enough information is known to ass	sign a rating.

3.5 INTEGRATION REVIEW

For hazard mitigation planning, "integration" means that hazard mitigation information is used in other relevant planning mechanisms, such as general planning and capital facilities planning, and that relevant information from those sources is used in hazard mitigation. This section identifies where such integration is already in place, and where there are opportunities for further integration in the future. Resources listed at the end of this annex were used to provide information on integration. The progress reporting process described in Volume 1 of the hazard mitigation plan will document the progress of hazard mitigation actions related to integration and identify new opportunities for integration.

3.5.1 Existing Integration

Some level of integration has already been established between local hazard mitigation planning and the following other local plans and programs:

- Capital Improvement Plan—The Capital Improvement Plan includes projects that can help mitigate
 potential hazards. The City will act to ensure consistency between the Hazard Mitigation Plan and the
 current and future capital improvement plans. The Hazard Mitigation Plan may identify new possible
 funding sources for capital improvement projects and may result in modifications to proposed projects
 based on results of the risk assessment.
- Building Code and Fire Code—The City's adoption of the 2019 California Building and Fire Codes
 incorporated local modifications to account for the climatic, topographic, and geologic conditions that
 exist in the City.
- **General Plan**—The General Plan includes a "Noise and Safety" element to protect the community from unreasonable risk by establishing policies and actions to avoid or minimize the following hazards:

- ➤ Geologic and seismic hazards
- > Fire hazards
- > Hazardous materials
- > Flood control

The General Plan's "Open Space and Conservation" element includes goals and policies related to air quality, use of sustainable energy sources, and other issues related to climate change.

- Climate Action Plan—The City's Climate Action Plan includes projects for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to likely impacts of climate change. These projects were reviewed to identify cross-planning initiates that serve both adaptation and mitigation objectives.
- Community Wildfire Protection Plan—The Community Wildfire Protection Plan builds upon the October 2016 City of Santa Rosa Hazard Mitigation Plan providing more site-specific wildfire assessments and an action plan to address the wildfire threat to the City.

3.5.2 Opportunities for Future Integration

The capability assessment presented in this annex indicates opportunities to integrate this mitigation plan with other jurisdictional planning/regulatory capabilities. Capabilities were identified as integration opportunities if they can support or enhance the actions identified in this plan or be supported or enhanced by components of this plan. The capability assessment identified the following plans and programs that do not currently integrate hazard mitigation information but provide opportunities to do so in the future:

- Local Threat & Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)
- Floodplain or Watershed Plan
- Post-Disaster Recovery Plan

3.6 RISK RANKING

3.6.1 Jurisdiction-Specific Natural Hazard Event History

Table 3-11 lists past occurrences of natural hazards for which specific damage was recorded in Santa Rosa. Other hazard events that broadly affected the entire planning area, including Sonoma County, are listed in the risk assessments in Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan.

3.6.2 Hazard Risk Ranking

Table 3-12 presents a local ranking of all hazards of concern for which this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments. As described in detail in Volume 1, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property and the economy. Mitigation actions target hazards with high and medium rankings. Note that the City of Santa Rosa has elevated Wildfire to a High ranking based on addition of the 2019 Kincade and 2020 Glass Fires to the Risk Assessment as well as information contained in the City's Community Wildfire Protection Plan.

3-12 TETRA TECH

Table 3-11. Past Natural Hazard Events								
Type of Event	FEMA Disaster #	Date	Damage Assessment					
Drought		2021 to present						
PG&E PSPS events		Approximately nine PSPS events during 2018 – 2020						
Glass Fire (wildfire)	DR-4558-CA	September 2020						
Kincade Fire (wildfire)	DR-4569-CA	October 2019	~\$4,000,000					
Nuns Fire (wildfire)	FM-5220-CA	October 2017	Included with Tubbs Fire Damage Assessment 3 fatalities					
Tubbs Fire (wildfire)	FM-5215-CA	October 2017	~\$154,000,000 22 fatalities					
Drought		2014-2016	unknown					
Hazardous Materials Incidents		10 incidents between April 2011 and September 2015. 73 Hazardous Materials Team callouts between 2016 and 2021.	unknown					
Drought		2007-2009	unknown					
New Year's flooding and landslides		2005-2006						
Lofty Perch Fire (wildfire)		June 2003	One structure destroyed Several firefighters injured					
Drought		1988-1991	unknown					
Severe Storms, Flooding		February 12 through March 10, 1986	unknown					
Drought	EM-3023-CA	1976-1977	unknown					
1969 Santa Rosa earthquakes		October 2, 1969	unknown					
Hanly Fire (wildfire)		September 1964	Approximately 149 structures destroyed					
Nuns Canyon (wildfire)		September 1964	Approximately 20 structures destroyed					
Airport Fire (wildfire)		September 1939	unknown					
Statewide drought		1928-1937	unknown					
Mayacamas Fire (wildfire)		September 1923	unknown					
1906 San Francisco Earthquake		April 18, 1906	unknown					
The Great Fire (wildfire)		October 1870	unknown					

	Table 3-12. Hazard Risk Ranking						
Rank	Hazard Type	Risk Rating Score (Probability x Impact)	Category				
1	Earthquake	36	High				
2	Wildfire	34	High				
3	Severe Weather	30	Medium				
4	Dam Failure	26	Medium				
5	Flood	18	Medium				
6	Landslide	18	Medium				
7	Drought	6	Low				
8	Sea Level Rise	0	None				
9	Tsunami	0	None				

3.6.3 Jurisdiction-Specific Vulnerabilities

Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments for each identified hazard of concern. This section provides information on a few key vulnerabilities for the jurisdiction. Available jurisdiction-specific risk maps of the hazards are provided at the end of this annex.

Repetitive Loss Properties

Repetitive loss records are as follows:

- Number of FEMA-identified Repetitive-Loss Properties: Two (2) as of 3/28/2021
- Number of FEMA-identified Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties: None as of 3/28/2021
- Number of Repetitive-Loss Properties or Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties that have been mitigated: None as of 3/28/2021

3.7 STATUS OF PREVIOUS PLAN ACTIONS

Table 3-13 summarizes the actions that were recommended in the previous version of the hazard mitigation plan and their implementation status at the time this update was prepared.

Table 3-13. Status of Previous Plan Act	ions			
Action Item	Completed	Removed; No longer Feasible		ed Over to Update Action # in Update
Continue to apply appropriate development conditions/ restrictions for projects in higher hazard zones to reduce risks.		√		
Comment: This is an existing core capability that is now addressed by Plan Objectives 1,	7, 9 and 11.			
In accordance with the adaptation strategies of the Climate Action Plan integrate climate change adaptation into future updates of the Zoning Code, Building Code, General Plan, Urban Water Management Plan, and other related documents.			√	SRO-1
Comment:				
Continue to coordinate with Sonoma County and surrounding jurisdictions on emergency notifications, including alerts of imminent threats or a need to evacuate. Alerts should be made available through multiple methods, in commonly spoken languages in Santa Rosa, and easily accessible to persons with access and functional needs.		√		
Comment: This is an existing core capability that is now addressed by Plan objectives 2 a	nd 5.			
To the extent possible, avoid locating new critical facilities in areas of elevated hazard risks. Use extensive mitigation measures to reduce vulnerability if no suitable alternative site exists.		✓		
Comment: This is addressed by Plan objectives 6 and 7.				
Continue to work with regional utility companies and service agencies, including energy providers, telecommunication services, and transit operators, to maintain basic services as much as possible during emergency conditions and to restore services as quickly as possible following an emergency event.		√		
Comment: This ongoing capability is addressed by Plan objectives 2 and 6				
Work to improve estimates of potential casualties and property damage as a result of different emergency situations.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing core capability that is now addressed by Plan objective 8				

3-14 TETRA TECH

		Removed;		ed Over to Update
Action Item	Completed	No longer Feasible		Action # in Update
Continue to update the City's emergency planning documents every five years to ensure consistency with state and federal law, local conditions, and best practices and the most recent science. Comment:			✓	SRO-2
Assure the continuity of operations of the City's water supply system through projects that address identified vulnerabilities and/or enhance the system's capabilities following hazard events. Comment:			✓	SRO-3
Identify current and future vegetation management ordinance requirements in the Wildland-Urban Interface fire area for existing and new development.			✓	SRO-4
Comment: Continue to analyze and improve emergency response communications. This strategy should include building redundant capacity into public safety alerting and answering points as well as replacing or hardening microwave and simulcast systems.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing core capability that is now addressed by Plan objectives 5 a	nd 6.			
Continue to assess the vulnerability of critical facilities to damage from natural disasters, including the availability of backup power and sufficient supplies to maintain essential functions, and make recommendations for appropriate mitigation.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan objectives 3, 8, and 9				
Retrofit, replace, or relocate critical facilities that are shown to be vulnerable to damage in natural disasters.			✓	SRO-5
Comment:				
Continue to participate not only in general mutual-aid agreements but also in agreements with adjoining jurisdictions and special districts for cooperative response to fires, floods, earthquakes, and other disasters.		√		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan objectives 2 and 5.				
In accordance with the adaptation strategies of the Climate Action Plan, continue to regularly train, inform, and solicit feedback from City organizations on potential climate change risks and hazards. Emphasize climate change risk and hazards with the Fire Department, Police Department, Transportation and Public Works Department, Water Department, and other City departments as relevant.		√		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan objectives 2, 8 and 9.				
In accordance with the adaptation strategies of the Climate Action Plan, revise Santa Rosa's General Plan, Capital Improvement Program, and other applicable documents to better integrate and prioritize climate change issues and best practices during required updates and as funding permits.		√		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 8 and 9.				
In accordance with the adaptation strategies of the Climate Action Plan, assess the possible impacts of climate change on a proposed project or area plan in the development review or policy development process.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 1, 2, 6, 8, 9 a	and 11.			
Update the City's Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) overlay designation to reflect up-to-date information on wildfire hazards and WUI exposure to prepare for future fire risk.			✓	SRO-31
Comment: This action item is now included with SRO-31				

		Removed;		ed Over to Update
Action Item	Completed	No longer Feasible	Check if Yes	
Identify and implement vegetation management projects in and around WUI zone (including unincorporated areas) Comment: This action item is now included with SRO-31			✓	SRO-31
Work with residents and property owners to develop an incentive program to replace shake roofs in the WUI. Comment:			✓	SRO-6
Continue to implement improvements to water flow capacity in the WUI. Comment:			✓	SRO-7
Ensure adequate road or fire road access for fire equipment to developed and open space areas. Comment: We have determined that this action item is not currently feasible		✓		
Continue to tie public education on defensible space and a comprehensive defensible space ordinance to a field program of enforcement. Comment:			✓	SRO-8
Continue to maintain good standing and compliance under the NFIP through implementation of floodplain management programs that, at a minimum, meet NFIP requirements to: Enforce the flood damage prevention ordinance. Participate in floodplain identification and mapping updates. Provide public assistance/information on floodplain requirements and impacts.			√	SRO-9
Comment: When FEMA creates, updates, and publishes flood zone mapping of the 100-year and 500-year floodplains, integrate information from the maps into the City's geographical information system and use flood information in the development review and public project review process. In areas with high flood risk, continue to evaluate and implement flood hazard mitigation projects to reduce potential for property damage, street flooding, and stream erosion. Comment:			✓	SRO-10
Based on identified vulnerability and/or potential for capacity enhancement, upgrade pump station to feasible specifications. Comment:			√	SRO-11
Evaluate, monitor, and maintain the City's stormwater drainage system to ensure it can effectively handle anticipated stormwater volumes to the maximum extent possible, and make upgrades and repairs as needed. Coordinate with the Sonoma Water to clear debris and remove vegetation and sediment in flood control channels within the City to protect flow capacity. Comment:			√	SRO-12
Identify and implement waterway restoration projects that result in bank stabilization, enhanced habitat and flood capacity. Comment:			✓	SRO-13
Retrofit public areas, including plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots as feasible, to use permeable paving and other low-impact development features that promote infiltration and reduce stormwater runoff. Comment:			√	SRO-14

3-16 TETRA TECH

		Removed;		ed Over to Update
Action Item	Completed	No longer Feasible	Check if Yes	
Evaluate, prioritize, and implement flood protection measures to protect wastewater treatment facilities from flooding during a predetermined recurrence interval. Comment:	F		√	SRO-15
Replace or retrofit water-retention structures that are determined to be structurally deficient, including levees, dams, reservoirs, and tanks. Continue to analyze and identify needs for future upgrades. Evaluate, reinforce, and/or enhance wastewater treatment facility structures with seismic risk. Comment:			✓	SRO-16
Develop funding mechanisms to assist building owners to afford retrofits to unreinforced masonry, soft-story, and/or non-ductile concrete structures. Comment:			✓	SRO-17
Require the retrofit of seismically vulnerable structures consistent with City Code. This program should include community education and outreach. Comment:			✓	SRO-18
Identify/analyze sanitary sewer trunk lines that are determined to be structurally deficient where crossing fault zones. Retrofit/replace as necessary. Comment:			✓	SRO-19
Conduct seismic evaluations on City-owned leased buildings that contain critical facilities/operations to determine the need for upgrades/retrofitting. Comment:			✓	SRO-20
Require comprehensive geotechnical investigations prior to development approval, where applicable. Investigations shall include evaluation of landslide risk, liquefaction potential, settlement, seismically induced land sliding, or weak and expansive soils.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 1, 6, 7, 8, 9,	and 11			
Restrict development from areas where people might be adversely affected by known natural or man-made geologic hazards, including unstable slopes, liquefiable or expansive soils, and poorly engineered fills, as determined by a California-registered geologist or engineer.		√		
Comment : This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 7 and 9.			T.	l
Pursue implementation of regulatory requirements related to erosion and sediment control. As needed, adopt additional, mandatory, minimum sediment and erosion control measures for current properties and those under construction that exhibit high erosion potential, are in areas of steep slopes, or have experienced past erosion problems. Sediment and erosion control measures shall reduce soil erosion from primary erosional agents, including wind, construction operations, and stormwater runoff.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 7 and 9.				
Provide reliable water delivery and wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal services during and after disasters to reduce the risk to public health and the environment.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objective 6.				
Promote public awareness and participation in household waste management, control, and recycling through County programs including the Sonoma County Household Hazardous Waste Management Plan.			√	
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 2, 4, and 12)			

		Removed;		ed Over to Update
Action Item	Completed	No longer Feasible	Check if Yes	Action # in Update
Improve Fire Department capabilities to respond to new hazardous materials incidents/ emergencies by pursuing Type 1 HazMat Team status Comment:			✓	SRO-21
			√	000.00
Update the Hazardous Materials Area Response Plan. Comment:			•	SRO-22
Protect existing groundwater resources from former hazardous material sites. Comment:			✓	SRO-23
Continue to provide and improve outreach to businesses that store, handle, and use hazardous materials over the state threshold or generate hazardous waste.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 6, 8, and 11				
Complete and implement recommendations of the Santa Rosa Emergency Groundwater Supply project, including construction of emergency groundwater wells consistent with the recommendations of the adopted Emergency Groundwater Master Plan.			√	SRO-24
Comment:				
Continue to participate in the Russian River Watershed Association to provide water conservation guidance, encourage drought-tolerant landscaping, and reduce the consumption of potable water.		✓		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 1, 2, and 11				
Replace water meters in existing development to allow customers to track real-time water use and support water conservation efforts, consistent with Climate Action Plan Action 7.1.3.	√			
Comment: Action was completed during the performance period of the prior plan.				
Implement advanced metering infrastructure to facilitate water conservation, consistent with Climate Action Plan Action 7.1.4.	✓			
Comment: Action was completed during the performance period of the prior plan.				
Develop a plan for expediting the repair and functional restoration of water and wastewater systems through stockpiling of shoring materials, temporary pumps, surface pipelines, portable hydrants, and other supplies, such as those available through the Water/Wastewater Agency Response Network (WARN). Communicate that plan to local governments and critical facility operators.			✓	SRO-25
Comment:		,		
Host regular workshops and classes on water conservation strategies, including drought-tolerant landscaping and available rebates for water conservation and water efficiency actions. Continue workshops, classes, and other educational efforts even in the absence of drought conditions.		√		
Comment: This is an ongoing capability that is addressed by Plan Objectives 1, 2, and 11				
Support the State's efforts to conduct periodic inspections of local dams and implement recommended actions to ensure all safety measures are in place			✓	SRO-26
Comment:				
Integrate updated dam inundation mapping from the State Office of Emergency Services into the City's geographic information system and utilize the information in the development review process.	√			
Comment: Action was completed during the performance period of the prior plan.				

3-18 TETRA TECH

3.8 HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN AND EVALUATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Table 3-14 lists the identified actions, which make up the hazard mitigation action plan for this jurisdiction. Table 3-15 identifies the priority for each action. Table 3-16 summarizes the mitigation actions by hazard of concern and mitigation type.

	Та	ble 3-14. Hazar	d Mitigation	Action Plar	n Matrix			
Applies to New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline a		
SRO-1: In accordance with the adaptation strategies of the Climate Action Plans integrate climate change adaptation into future updates of the Zoning Code, Building Code, General Plan, Urban Water Management Plan, and other related documents.								
Hazards Mitigated:	Wildfire, Severe Wea	ther, Flood, Landsli	de, Drought					
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other grant sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short and Long- Term		
	o update the City's en onditions, and best p				ars to ensure consistency with sta	te and		
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wildfire,	Severe Weather, Da	am Failure, Flo	od, Landslide	, Drought			
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM	Short-term		
	continuity of operati or enhance the system				gh projects that address identified			
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wildfire,	Severe Weather, Da	am Failure, Flo	od, Landslide	, Drought	ı		
New and Existing	2, 3, 4, 6, 9	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Ongoing		
SRO-4: Prepare a	a Zoning Code updat		ation manage g and new de		ments in the Wildland-Urban Inter	face zone		
Hazards Mitigated:								
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff time, General Fund, PDM	Short-term		
					e to damage in natural disasters p n or medium ranked hazard.	rioritizing		
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wildfire,	Severe Weather, Da	am Failure, Flo	od, Landslide	, Drought	1		
New and Existing	1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other grant sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short and Long term		
SRO-6: Work with I Hazards Mitigated:		ty owners to deve	lop an incenti	ve program t	o replace shake roofs in the WUI.			
Existing	1, 2, 3, 6	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMAG, Other Grant Sources	Ongoing		

or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline a
SRO-7: Continue to	o implement improve	ments to water flo	w capacity in	the WUI.		•
Hazards Mitigated:	Wildfire	ı	ı	ı		
New and Existing	3, 4, 6, 8, 9	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMAG, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Long-term
		n on defensible sp	ace and a cor	nprehensive o	defensible space ordinance to a fi	eld
program of enforce						
lazards Mitigated:						
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMAG, Other Grant Sources	Ongoing
Participate in flooProvide public as	I damage prevention of odplain identification ar ssistance/information o Flood, Dam Failure	nd mapping updates		pacts.		
New and Existing	1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	Staff Time, General Fund	Ongoing
Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing	potential for property Flood 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12	-	ooding, and	on cam crosio	···	
	2, 7, 0, 9, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources	
SRO-11: Based on		Rosa			PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources	Long-term
		Rosa				Long-tern
specifications.	identified vulnerabili	Rosa			PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources	Long-term
specifications.	identified vulnerabili	Rosa			PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources	Long-term le
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing	identified vulnerabili Flood 3, 6	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa	I for capacity	enhancemen High	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water	Long-tern le Short-tern
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaines to the maximum experience.	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and	I for capacity N/A water drainag	enhancemen High Je system to eddes and repa	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the	Long-tern le Short-tern nticipated e Sonoma
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume Nater to clear deb	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaites to the maximum exits and remove veget	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and	I for capacity N/A water drainag	enhancemen High Je system to eddes and repa	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar	Long-tern le Short-tern nticipated e Sonoma
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume Nater to clear deb	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaines to the maximum express and remove veget Flood	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and ation and sedimer	N/A N/A water drainaç d make upgra nt in flood cor	enhancemen High e system to edes and repaintrol channels	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the to protect flow capacity within the	Long-term le Short-term short-term
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume Water to clear debi	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaites to the maximum exits and remove veget	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and	I for capacity N/A water drainag	enhancemen High Je system to eddes and repa	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the	Long-term le Short-term nticipated e Sonoma
Specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume Nater to clear debitazards Mitigated: New and Existing	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaines to the maximum exist and remove veget Flood 2, 3, 10	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and ation and sedimer City of Santa Rosa	N/A water drainaged make upgrant in flood cor	enhancemen High Je system to edes and repaintrol channels High	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the to protect flow capacity within the Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources,	Short-term Short-term atticipated e Sonoma ne City Ongoing
Repecifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing Reperimental Evaluate, Stormwater volume Water to clear debetazards Mitigated: New and Existing Repecifications.	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaines to the maximum exist and remove veget Flood 2, 3, 10 nd implement waterw	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and ation and sedimer City of Santa Rosa	N/A water drainaged make upgrant in flood cor	enhancemen High Je system to edes and repaintrol channels High	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the to protect flow capacity within the Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short-terring strict pate at the city
specifications. Hazards Mitigated: New and Existing SRO-12: Evaluate, stormwater volume Nater to clear debitazards Mitigated: New and Existing	identified vulnerabilities Flood 3, 6 monitor, and maintaines to the maximum exist and remove veget Flood 2, 3, 10 nd implement waterw	Rosa ity and/or potentia City of Santa Rosa in the City's storm xtent possible, and ation and sedimer City of Santa Rosa vay restoration pro	N/A water drainaged make upgrant in flood cor	enhancemen High Je system to edes and repaintrol channels High	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources t, upgrade pump station to feasib General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds ensure it can effectively handle ar irs as needed. Coordinate with the to protect flow capacity within the Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short-term Short-term aticipated e Sonoma ne City Ongoing

3-20 TETRA TECH

Applies to New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline a
					meable paving and other low-imp	act
•	res that promote infi Flood, Landslide, Dro		e stormwater i	runoπ.		
Existing	3, 10	City of Santa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP,	Ongoing
Lating	0, 10	Rosa	14/71	Tilgii	PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Origonia
during a predeterm	ined recurrence inte	erval.	ion measures	to protect wa	astewater treatment facilities from	flooding
	Flood, Landslide, Da		l	l	I	ı
New and Existing	2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, FMA, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short and Long-term
reservoirs, and tan wastewater treatme		yze and identify ne s with seismic risk	eeds for future		cturally deficient, including levees valuate, reinforce, and/or enhance	
Existing	3, 4, 8, 10	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Ongoing
story, and/or non-d <u>Hazards Mitigated:</u> Existing	luctile concrete struct Earthquake 1, 2, 3	ctures. City of Santa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP	Long-term
		Rosa	_			
SRO-18: Require the community education		ally vulnerable str	uctures consis	stent with Cit	y Code. This program should inclu	ıde
Hazards Mitigated:						
Existing Existing	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP	Long-term
SRO-19: Identify/ar	nalyze sanitary sewe	r trunk lines that a	re determined	to be structi	urally deficient where crossing fau	ılt zones.
Retrofit/replace as	-					
Hazards Mitigated:		l	l	l		l
Existing	3, 6, 8, 10	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short-term
SRO-20: Conduct s need for upgrades/		on City-owned leas	sed buildings	that contain (critical facilities/operations to dete	ermine the
Hazards Mitigated:						
Existing	3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	General Fund, HMGP	Short-term
=	pe 1 HazMat Team s	tatus				
Hazards Mitigated:	I and the second se	l .	l	l .		1
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 8	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP	Short-term

Applies to New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline a
SRO-22: Prepare a	comprehensive Haz	ardous Materials A	rea Respons	e Plan update		
Hazards Mitigated:	Fire					
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short-term
SRO-23: Protect ex	isting groundwater r	esources from for	mer hazardou	ıs material sit	es.	
Hazards Mitigated:	Drought					
Existing	1, 3, 6	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Ongoing
SRO-24: Complete	and implement reco	mmendations of th	ne Santa Rosa	Plain Groun	dwater Sustainability Agency, incl	uding
	ergency groundwate	er wells consistent	with the reco	mmendations	s of the adopted Emergency Groun	ndwater
Master Plan.						
Hazards Mitigated:	•	.				
New and Existing	3, 4, 6	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Ongoing
SRO-25: Support th	e State's efforts to d	conduct periodic in	nspections of	local dams a	nd implement recommended actio	ns to
ensure all safety me	easures are in place				·	
<u>Hazards Mitigated:</u>	Dam Failure, Flood					
New and Existing	1, 2, 8, 10, 11	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Ongoing
SRO-26: Conduct N	licrogrid feasibility s	study				
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wildfire,	Severe Weather, D	am Failure, Fl	ood		
New and Existing	4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources,	Short-term
SRO-27: Secure red	lundant power supp	ly for City-owned	and leased fa	cilities and in	frastructure that lack adequate ba	ck-up
power						
	Earthquake, Wildfire,				e, Drought	
New and Existing	2, 3, 6, 9	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PG&E settlement funds, Congressional earmark process	Short-term
SRO-28: Relocate/U	Jpdate EOC to City-c	wned facility that	can accommo	odate use and	renovation to permanent warm/h	ot EOC
	uipment for commu					
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wildfire,	Severe Weather, D	am Failure, Fl	ood, Landslide	e, Drought	
New and Existing	3, 4, 5, 6, 10	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PG&E settlement funds, Congressional earmark process	Short-term
SRO-29: Implement	: Actionable Items id	entified within the	City of Santa	Rosa Comm	unity Wildfire Protection Plan	
•	Wildfire, Severe Wea		,			
New and Existing	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	High	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, AFG, FMAG, AFGP, CAL FIRE, PG&E settlement funds, Congressional earmark process	Ongoing

3-22 TETRA TECH

Applies to New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline a
	•				e I of this Hazard Mitigation Plan.	
	Dam failure, Drought	•	1 9.			I
New and Existing	1, 5, 8, 12	City of Santa Rosa	County of Sonoma	Low	Staff Time, General Fund	Short-tern
SRO-31: Support the	ne County-wide initia	tives identified in	Volume I of th	is Hazard Mit	tigation Plan.	
<u>Hazards Mitigated:</u>	Dam failure, Drought	•	ling, Landslide,	Severe weath	ner, Wildfire	
New and Existing	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	County of Sonoma	High	Staff time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, AFG, FMAG, AFGP, CAL FIRE, PG&E settlement funds, Congressional earmark process	Short-terr
the community inc	luding the General P	lan, Specific Plans	s, and the City	Code.	ams that dictate land use decision	ns within
	Dam failure, Drought	•	. •			1 2 .
New and Existing	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	Staff Time, General Fund	Ongoing
stockpiling of shor available through t critical facility ope	ing materials, tempo he Water/Wastewate	rary pumps, surfa r Agency Respons	ce pipelines, ¡ se Network (W	oortable hydr ARN). Comm	and wastewater systems through ants, and other supplies, such as unicate that plan to local governn Weather	those
Existing	1, 2, 4, 6, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Medium	Staff Time, General Fund, HMGP, PDM, Other Grant Sources, Water Enterprise Funds	Short-terr
SRO-34: Explore th	e feasibility of resili	ence hubs within t	he City of San	ta Rosa		
Hazards Mitigated	Dam failure, Drought	, Earthquake, Flood	ling, Landslide,	Severe weath	ner, Wildfire	1
New and Existing	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11,	City of Santa Rosa	N/A	Low	Staff Time, EMPG, General Fund, PDM Other Grant Sources	Long-tern
SRO-35: Coordinat	e with Sonoma Wate	r on grant eligible	projects with	n the City of	Santa Rosa	
Hazards Mitigated	Flood, Dam Failure, I	Drought				
New and Existing	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma Water	Medium	General Fund, Staff Time, HMGP	Ongoing
no completion d	empletion within 5 year	rs; Long-term = Cor	mpletion within	10 years; Ong	poing= Continuing new or existing pro	ogram wi

Table 3-15. Mitigation Action Priority									
Action #	# of Objectives Met	Benefits	Costs	Do Benefits Equal or Exceed Cost?	Is Project Grant Eligible?	Can Project Be Funded Under Existing Programs/ Budgets?	Implementation Priority ^a	Grant Pursuit Priority ^a	
SRO-1	9	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Medium	
SRO-2	7	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Medium	
SRO-3	5	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-4	9	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-5	8	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium	
SRO-6	4	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Low	Low	
SRO-7	5	High	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-8	9	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-9	8	Medium	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-10	6	Medium	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Medium	
SRO-11	2	High	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Medium	
SRO-12	3	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium	
SRO-13	4	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium	
SRO-14	2	Low	High	No	Yes	No	Low	Low	
SRO-15	6	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-16	4	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-17	3	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-18	9	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-19	4	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-20	6	High	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High	
SRO-21	4	Medium	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Low	
SRO-22	4	Medium	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Low	
SRO-23	3	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium	
SRO-24	3	High	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium	
SRO-25	5	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Low	Low	
SRO-26	6	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-27	4	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	High	
SRO-28	5	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	High	
SRO-29	11	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	High	
SRO-30	4	Low	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Medium	
SRO-31	11	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	High	
SRO-32	9	High	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	High	
SRO-33	6	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium	
SRO-34	8	High	Low	Yes	Yes	No	High	High	
SRO-35	8	Medium	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium	

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of priorities.

3-24 TETRA TECH

Table 3-16. Analysis of Mitigation Actions									
	Action Addressing Hazard, by Mitigation Type ^a								
Hazard Type	Prevention	Property Protection	Public Education & Awareness	Natural Resource Protection	Emergency Services	Structural Projects	Climate Resilient	Community Capacity Building	
High-Risk Ha									
Earthquake	SRO-2, 3, 26, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 27, 28, 31, 34	SRO-17,18, 20, 26, 28, 30, 32, 31, ,	SRO-3, 16, 31, 33	SRO-3, 16, 19, 26, 27, 28, 31, 33	SRO-3, 16, 17, 19, 31, 34	SRO-2, 2 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	
Wildfire	SRO-1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 22, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	SRO-3, 5, 6, 27, 28, 29, 31, 34	SRO-6, 8, 21, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 8, 29, 31, 33	SRO-3, 7, 8, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34	SRO-3, 7, 29, 31, 34	SRO-1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 6, 7, 8, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	
Medium-Risk	Hazards								
Severe Weather	SRO-1, 2, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	SRO-3, 27, 28, 29, 31, 34	SRO-26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 29, 31, 33	SRO-3, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34	SRO-3, 29, 31, 34	SRO-1, 2, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	
Dam Failure	SRO-2, 10, 13, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34,35	SRO-3, 10, 13, 16, 25, 27, 28, 31, 34	SRO-10, 26, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 10, 13, 16, 25, 31, 33, 35	SRO-3, 10, 16, 26, 27, 28, 31, 33, 34	SRO-3, 10, 16, 25, 31, 34, 35	SRO-2, 10, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35	SRO-3, 10, 16, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	
Flood	SRO-1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 5, , 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 25, 27, 28, 31, 34	SRO-9, 10, 26, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 31, 33, 35	SRO-3, 10, 11, 16, 19, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 31, 33, 34	SRO-3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 19, 25, 31, 34, 35	SRO-1, 2, 1, 9, 10, 12, 14, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35	SRO-3, 9, 10, 11 12, 14, 16, 19, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	
Landslide	SRO-, 13, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	SRO-3, 13, 16, 27, 28, 29, 31, 34	SRO-28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	SRO-3, 13, 16, 29, 31, 33	SRO-3, 16, 27, 28, 29, 33, 34	SRO-3, 16, 29, 30, 31, 34	SRO-29, 30, 31, 32, 34	SRO-3, 16, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34	
Low-Risk Hazard									
Drought	SRO-1, 2, 13, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 13, 16, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34	SRO-28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 13, 16, 23, 24, 31, 33, 35	SRO-3, 16, 24, 27, 28, 31, 33, 34, 35	SRO-3, 16, 24, 31, 34, 35	SRO-1, 2, 24, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35	SRO-3, 16, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of mitigation types.

3.9 INFORMATION SOURCES USED FOR THIS ANNEX

The following technical reports, plans, and regulatory mechanisms were reviewed for this annex.

- **City of Santa Rosa Municipal Code**—The Municipal Code was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Santa Rosa Emergency Operations Plan**—The Emergency Operations Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Santa Rosa Municipal Climate Action Plan**—The City of Santa Rosa Municipal Climate Action Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.

- City of Santa Rosa Community-wide Climate Action Plan—The City of Santa Rosa Community-wide Climate Action Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Santa Rosa Continuity of Operations Plan**—The Continuity of Operations Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- City of Santa Rosa General Plan 2035—The General Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Santa Rosa Capital Improvement Plan**—The Capital Improvement Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- City of Santa Rosa 2015 Urban Water Management Plan—The Urban Water Management Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Santa Rosa Community Wildfire Protection Plan**—The Community Wildfire Protection Plan was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.

The following outside resources and references were reviewed:

Hazard Mitigation Plan Annex Development Toolkit—The toolkit was used to support the
identification of past hazard events and noted vulnerabilities, the risk ranking, and the development of the
mitigation action plan.

3-26 TETRA TECH























