2. CITY OF COTATI

2.1 LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING TEAM

Primary Point of Contact

Katie Duran, Administrative Analyst 201 West Sierra Avenue Cotati, CA 94931 Telephone: 707-665-3624

Telephone: 707-003-3024

e-mail Address: kduran@cotaticity.org

Alternate Point of Contact

Damien O'Bid, City Manager 201 West Sierra Avenue Cotati, CA 94931

Telephone: 707-665-3621

e-mail Address: dobid@cotaticity.org

This annex was developed by the local hazard mitigation planning team, whose members are listed in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Local Mitigation Planning Team Members			
Name	Title		
Katie Duran	Administrative Analyst		
Damien O'Bid	City Manager		
Noah Housh	Community Development Director		
Craig Scott	Public Works Director/City Engineer		
Angela Courter	Administrative Services Director		
Michael Parish	Chief of Police		

2.2 JURISDICTION PROFILE

2.2.1 Location and Features

The City of Cotati is an incorporated city in Sonoma County, California, U.S., located about 45 mi (70 km) north of San Francisco in the U.S. 101 corridor between the cities of Rohnert Park and Petaluma.

The city has a total area of 1.89 sq mi (4.9 km²), 0.53% of which is water.

The city is about 17 mi (27 km) from the Pacific Ocean. It lies entirely in the drainage basin of the Laguna de Santa Rosa (river). The Petaluma River watershed begins just south of town. To the west is the Stemple Creek watershed. The sources of all three watercourses lie in the hilly area between Stony Point Road and U.S. 101, just west of town.

A gap in the coastal ridges near Petaluma often allows coastal fog to reach Cotati in the summer, giving it a marine climate that is noticeably cooler and less sunny than the "coastal" climates of nearby Santa Rosa and Sebastopol. Cotati averages fewer than 800 hours per growing season in the 70–90°F range.

2.2.2 History

The City of Cotati was incorporated July 2, 1963, less than a year after the incorporation of the lands north of town to form Rohnert Park; voters approved (by a 284 to 41 margin) incorporation of Cotati as a separate city.

2.2.3 Governing Body Format

The City of Cotati is governed by a five-member city council. The City consists of six departments: Administrative Services, Community Development, Public Works/Engineering, Police, and the City Manager's Office. The City Council assumes responsibility for the adoption of this plan; the City Manager will oversee its implementation.

2.3 CURRENT TRENDS

2.3.1 Population

According to California Department of Finance, the 2021 estimate for City of Cotati was 7429, a drop of 1 percent from the previous year.

2.3.2 Development

Table 2-2 summarizes development trends in the performance period since the preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan, as well as expected future development trends.

2.4 CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

This section describes an assessment of existing capabilities for implementing hazard mitigation strategies. The introduction at the beginning of this volume of the hazard mitigation plan describes the components included in the capability assessment and their significance for hazard mitigation planning.

Findings of the capability assessment were reviewed to identify opportunities to expand, initiate or integrate capabilities to further hazard mitigation goals and objectives. Where such opportunities were identified and determined to be feasible, they are included in the action plan. The "Analysis of Mitigation Actions" table in this annex identifies these as community capacity building mitigation actions. The findings of the assessment are presented as follows:

- An assessment of legal and regulatory capabilities is presented in Table 2-3.
- Development and permitting capabilities are presented in Table 2-4.
- An assessment of fiscal capabilities is presented in Table 2-5.
- An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities is presented in Table 2-6.
- An assessment of education and outreach capabilities is presented in Table 2-7.
- Information on National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance is presented in Table 2-8.
- Classifications under various community mitigation programs are presented in Table 2-9.
- The community's adaptive capacity for the impacts of climate change is presented in Table 2-10.

2-2 TETRA TECH

Table 2-2. Rece	ent and Expected Future Developm	nent Tre	ends			
Criterion	Response					
Has your jurisdiction annexed any land since the preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan? If yes, give the estimated area annexed and	Not Applicable This is Cotati's first hazard mitigation plan					
estimated number of parcels or structures. Is your jurisdiction expected to annex any areas during the performance period of this plan? If yes, describe land areas and dominant uses. If yes, who currently has permitting authority over these areas?	Yes Commercial/Industrial County of Sonoma					
Are any areas targeted for development or major redevelopment in the next five years? If yes, briefly describe, including whether any of the areas are in known hazard risk areas	Yes The Highway 116 Corridor, Downtown Specific Plan (DSP) Area, and Cotati Station Area Specific Plan Area. Each of these are designated ABAG Priority Development Areas and/or Priority Production Areas. The DSP has known localized areas of flood hazard. No other areas have known hazard risks specific to those areas.					
How many permits for new construction were		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
issued in your jurisdiction since the	Single Family	2	18	36	0	3
preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan?	Multi-Family	0	0	0	0	0
piait:	Other (commercial, mixed use, etc.)	0	2	11	2	3
	Total	2	20	47	2	6
Provide the number of new-construction permits for each hazard area or provide a qualitative description of where development has occurred.	 Special Flood Hazard Areas: Unknown, but limited numbers of individual properties Landslide: 0 High Liquefaction Areas: Unknown, but likely significant portion of community Tsunami Inundation Area: 0 Wildfire Risk Areas: not available at this time 					
Describe the level of buildout in the jurisdiction, based on your jurisdiction's buildable lands inventory. If no such inventory exists, provide a qualitative description.	A majority of the existing parcels within development on them. The exception to residential parcels on the west side of the agricultural properties toward the south previously developed properties are significant land use designations, or the exifunctional life and is in need to significant develop the parcels. The City of Cotati Clands inventory.	this, is a ne City and end of the nificantly isting devent investm	few large ad some la e City. Ho under-dev relopment nent to up	r comment rger resing wever, modeled by is nearing date the s	rcial and dential and any of the ased on to get the end structures	ese their I of its s or re-

Table 2-3. Planning and Regulatory Capability				
	Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?
Codes, Ordinances, & Requirements				
Building Code	Yes	No	Yes	No
Comment: Cotati Municipal Code, Title 14, Chap 2019: Ord. 864 § 2(part), 2016: Ord. 8				
Zoning Code	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 17, Chapters 17.20 – 17.2	28; Ord. 766 § 2 Exh.	A (part), 2004		
Subdivisions	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 17, Chapter 17.70, Section		6 § 2 Exh. A (part), 2004	l .	
Stormwater Management	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 13, Chapter 13.68, Section	ns 010-230; Ord. 870	0 § 2(part), 2017: Ord. 79!	5 § 1(part), 2007: Ord.	759 § 1(part), 2004
Post-Disaster Recovery	No	Yes	No	Yes
Comment: Sonoma County				
Real Estate Disclosure	No	Yes	Yes	No
Comment: CA State Civil Code 1102 requires dis City of Cotati	sclosure for all sales	of real property. Enforcer	nent is not under the au	uthorities of the
Growth Management	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 17, Chapter 17.52, Section within the city's General Plan.	ns 010-050; Ord. 760	6 § 2 Exh. A (part), 2004;	growth management is	also covered
Site Plan Review	Yes	No	No	No
Comment: CMC, Title 17, Chapter 17.42, Section	ns 010-210; Ord. 76d	6 § 2 Exh. A (part), 2004		
Environmental Protection	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 17, Chapters 17.54, Section and restoration); Ord. 766 § 2 Exh. A) & 17.56, Sections (we	tland protection
Flood Damage Prevention	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 15, Chapter 15.04, section 1997	n 040—methods of re	educing flood losses; Ord.	819 § 2(part), 2008: C	ord. 667 § 2(part),
Emergency Management	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Comment: City Manager is the City's Emergency	y Manager			
Climate Change	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Other	Yes	No	No	Yes
Comment: CMC, Title 2, Chapter 2.24 Emergence Council's roles and duties; 2.24.080 E of the city emergency plan				
Planning Documents				
General Plan	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Is the plan compliant with Assembly Bill 2140? Comment: Cotati General Plan, Adopted 03/24/2 flood protection measures		nt discusses seismic, geolo	ogic, and flooding haza	rds in addition to
Capital Improvement Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes
How often is the plan updated? Every five year Comment: City of Cotati Capital Improvement Pr	S	'		
Disaster Debris Management Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:	110	110	110	140
Floodplain or Watershed Plan	No	No	No	Yes
Comment:	110	110	110	103
- Commont.				

2-4 TETRA TECH

	Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?		
Stormwater Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Comment: Phase II NPDES Storm Water Manag	se II NPDES Storm Water Management Plan, March 2005					
Urban Water Management Plan	No	Yes	No	No		
Comment: Sonoma County Water Agency 2015 the development of the plan	Urban Water Manag	nement Plan—City of Cota	ti is referenced as havi	ing participated in		
Habitat Conservation Plan Comment:	No	No	No	No		
Economic Development Plan	In process	No	No	Yes		
Comment: Economic Development Strategy and	Implementation Pro	gram; also economic vitali	ity chapter within the g	eneral plan		
Shoreline Management Plan	No	No	No	No		
Comment:				-		
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	Pending—Other	Yes	No	Yes		
Comment: Sonoma County Community Wildfire	Protection <u>Plan</u> , 201	8 (Update pending)				
Forest Management Plan	No	No	No	No		
Comment:						
Climate Action Plan	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
Comment: Sonoma County Regional Climate Action Plan, July 2016—City of Cotati website links to this plan, contents list actions taken within general plan and through code & ordinance adoption						
Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Comment: City of Cotati Emergency Operations	Plan—updated 2019	9				
Threat & Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)	No	No	No	No		
Comment: Bay Area Urban Areas Security Initial	tive					
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	No	Yes	No	No		
Comment: Sonoma County Recovery & Resilien	cy Framework, Dece	ember 2018				
Continuity of Operations Plan	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Comment: Sonoma County has a COOP Plan						
Public Health Plan	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Comment: Community Health & Wellness chapter in the general plan						
Other						
Comment:						

Table 2-4. Development and Permitting Capability			
Criterion	Response		
Does your jurisdiction issue development permits? If no, who does? If yes, which department?	Yes Community Development Department		
Does your jurisdiction have the ability to track permits by hazard area? Does your jurisdiction have a buildable lands inventory?	Yes Yes		

Table 2-5. Fiscal Capability				
Financial Resource	Accessible or Eligible to Use?			
Community Development Block Grants	Yes			
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes			
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Yes			
User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas or Electric Service	Yes—water & sewer			
Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds	No			
Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds	Yes			
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	No			
Withhold Public Expenditures in Hazard-Prone Areas	No			
State-Sponsored Grant Programs	Yes			
Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers	Yes			
Other	N/A			

Table 2-6. Administrative and Technical Capability				
Staff/Personnel Resource	Available?	Department/Agency/Position		
Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Community Development Department, Planning		
Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Public Works and Engineering		
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Community Development & City Engineer		
Staff with training in benefit/cost analysis	Yes	Administrative Service Director		
Surveyors	Yes	By contract only		
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications	Yes	Community Development & City Engineer		
Scientist familiar with natural hazards in local area	No			
Emergency manager	Yes	Damien O'Bid, City Manager		
Grant writers	No			
Other	N/A			

2-6 TETRA TECH

Table 2-7. Education and Outreach Capability				
Criterion	Response			
Do you have a public information officer or communications office?	Yes			
Do you have personnel skilled or trained in website development?	Yes			
Do you have hazard mitigation information available on your website? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Ref: Sonoma County website			
Do you use social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Facebook & Instagram			
Do you have any citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Planning Commission			
Do you have any other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information? If yes, briefly describe.	No			
Do you have any established warning systems for hazard events? If yes, briefly describe.	Yes Nixle, SoCo Alert			

Table 2-8. National Flood Insurance Program Compliance				
Criterion	Response			
What local department is responsible for floodplain management?	Public Works and Engineering			
Who is your floodplain administrator? (department/position)	Public Works Director			
Are any certified floodplain managers on staff in your jurisdiction?	Public Works Director			
What is the date that your flood damage prevention ordinance was last amended?	2008			
Does your floodplain management program meet or exceed minimum requirements? <i>If exceeds, in what ways?</i>	Meets			
When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit or Community Assistance Contact?	2018			
Does your jurisdiction have any outstanding NFIP compliance violations that need to be addressed? If so, state what they are.	No			
Are any RiskMAP projects currently underway in your jurisdiction? If so, state what they are.	No			
Do your flood hazard maps adequately address the flood risk within your jurisdiction? <i>If no, state why.</i>	Yes			
Does your floodplain management staff need any assistance or training to support its floodplain management program?	Yes			
If so, what type of assistance/training is needed?	Updating regulations			
Does your jurisdiction participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)? If yes, is your jurisdiction interested in improving its CRS Classification?	No			
If no, is your jurisdiction interested in joining the CRS program?	Yes			
How many flood insurance policies are in force in your jurisdiction? ^a What is the insurance in force? What is the premium in force?	73 \$22,711,000 \$58,393			
How many total loss claims have been filed in your jurisdiction? ^a How many claims are still open or were closed without payment? What were the total payments for losses?	5 0 \$2,275			
a. According to FEMA statistics as of December 2020				

Table 2-9. Community Classifications							
Participating? Classification Date Classified							
FIPS Code	Yes	0609716560	N/A				
DUNS #	Yes	020016119	N/A				
Community Rating System	No	N/A	N/A				
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule	No	N/A	N/A				
Public Protection	No	N/A	N/A				
Storm Ready	No	N/A	N/A				
Firewise	No	N/A	N/A				

Table 2-10. Adaptive Capacity for Climate Change	
Criterion	Jurisdiction Rating ^a
Technical Capacity	
Jurisdiction-level understanding of potential climate change impacts	Medium
Comment:	
Jurisdiction-level monitoring of climate change impacts	Low
Comment:	
Technical resources to assess proposed strategies for feasibility and externalities	Low
Comment:	
Jurisdiction-level capacity for development of greenhouse gas emissions inventory	Medium
Comment:	
Capital planning and land use decisions informed by potential climate impacts	Low
Comment:	
Participation in regional groups addressing climate risks	High
Comment:	
Implementation Capacity	
Clear authority/mandate to consider climate change impacts during public decision-making processes	Medium
Comment:	
Identified strategies for greenhouse gas mitigation efforts	Medium
Comment:	
Identified strategies for adaptation to impacts	Medium
Comment:	NA P
Champions for climate action in local government departments	Medium
Comment:	t P. d.
Political support for implementing climate change adaptation strategies	High
Comment:	High
Financial resources devoted to climate change adaptation Comment:	High
Local authority over sectors likely to be negative impacted	Unsure
Comment:	Unsule
Public Capacity	
Local residents knowledge of and understanding of climate risk	High
Comment:	riigii
Local residents support of adaptation efforts	Medium
Comment:	WIGUIUITI
Continent.	

2-8 TETRA TECH

Criterion	Jurisdiction Ratinga
Local residents' capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Medium
Comment:	
Local economy current capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Unsure
Comment:	
Local ecosystems capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Unsure
Comment:	

High = Capacity exists and is in use; Medium = Capacity may exist, but is not used or could use some improvement;
 Low = Capacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement; Unsure = Not enough information is known to assign a rating.

2.5 INTEGRATION REVIEW

For hazard mitigation planning, "integration" means that hazard mitigation information is used in other relevant planning mechanisms, such as general planning and capital facilities planning, and that relevant information from those sources is used in hazard mitigation. This section identifies where such integration is already in place, and where there are opportunities for further integration in the future. Resources listed at the end of this annex were used to provide information on integration. The progress reporting process described in Volume 1 of the hazard mitigation plan will document the progress of hazard mitigation actions related to integration and identify new opportunities for integration.

2.5.1 Existing Integration

Some level of integration has already been established between local hazard mitigation planning and the following other local plans and programs:

- Sonoma County HMP
- Rohnert Park HMP
- Sonoma Water HMP
- City's Capital Improvement Plan
- City's General Plan

2.5.2 Opportunities for Future Integration

The capability assessment presented in this annex identified the following plans and programs that do not currently integrate hazard mitigation information but provide opportunities to do so in the future:

- Rancho Adobe Fire Strategic Plan
- Laguna Waste Water HMP
- Sonoma County HMP
- Location tracking of building development in specific hazard areas

2.6 RISK ASSESSMENT

2.6.1 Jurisdiction-Specific Natural Hazard Event History

Table 2-11 lists past occurrences of natural hazards for which specific damage was recorded in the City of Cotati. Other hazard events that broadly affected the entire planning area, including the City of Cotati, are listed in the risk assessments in Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan.

Table 2-11. Past Natural Hazard Events					
	FEMA		Damage		
Type of Event	Disaster #	Date	Assessment		
Heavy Rains and Flooding	DR-183	December 24, 1964	Unknown		
Severe Storms, Flooding	DR-253	January 26, 1969	Unknown		
Severe Storms, Flood, Mudslides, High Tide	DR-651	December 19, 1981 – January 8, 1983	Unknown		
Coastal Storms, Floods, Slides, Tornadoes	DR-677	January 21 – March 30, 1983	Unknown		
Severe Storms, Flooding	DR-758	February 12 – March 10, 1986	Unknown		
Freeze of '91	DR-894	December 1990 – February 1991	Unknown		
Flood of '93		January 20 – 25, 1993	Unknown		
Fishing Emergency		May – September 1994	Unknown		
Flood of '95, Part 1		January 8 – 31, 1995	Unknown		
Flood of '95, Part 2		March 7 – 15, 1995	Unknown		
December Winter Storm		December 11 – 12, 1995	Unknown		
February Winter Storm		February 4 – 5, 1996	Unknown		
Cavedale Fire		July 31 – August 20, 1996	Unknown		
Jenner Sandbarrier		July 31 – August 20, 1996	Unknown		
Porter Creek Fire		October 27 – 28, 1996	Unknown		
New Year's Flood		December 30, 1996 – January 4, 1997	Unknown		
Superbowl Flood		January 25, 1997	Unknown		
Flood of '98/Rio Nido Debris Flow		February 2, 1998 – January 4, 2000	Unknown		
February Winter Storm		February 8 – 10, 1999	Unknown		
December Winter Storms		December 17, 2002 – April 8, 2003	Unknown		
Geysers Fire		September 3 – 8, 2004	Unknown		
New Year's Floods		December 31, 2005 – January 3, 2006	Unknown		
Late Spring Storms		March 29 – April 16, 2006	Unknown		
SF Oil Spill		November 7, 2007	Unknown		
H1N1 Influenza Pandemic		April – May 2009	Unknown		
Great Tohoku Tsunami		March 11, 2011	Unknown		
Holiday Decoration Flood		December 2, 2012	Unknown		
Lopez Protests		October 29 and November 5, 2013	Unknown		
Drought		2014 – 2016	Unknown		
South Napa Earthquake		August 24, 2014	Unknown		
December Winter Storm		December 11 – 12, 2014	Unknown		
Valley Fire	FM-5112	September 12 – 25, 2015	Unknown		
Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides	DR-4301	January 3 – 12, 2017	Unknown		
Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Mudslides	DR-4308	February 1 – 23, 2017	Unknown		
LNU Complex Fires		October 2017	Unknown		

2-10 TETRA TECH

Type of Event	FEMA Disaster #	Date	Damage Assessment
Wildfires	DR-4344	October 8 – 31, 2017	Unknown
PG&E Power Shutoff (PSPS)		October 2018	Unknown
Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides	DR-4434	February 24 – March 1, 2019	Unknown
PG&E Power Shutoff (PSPS)		October 2019	Unknown
Kincade Fire	FM-5295	October 23 – November 7, 2019	Unknown
COVID-19 Pandemic	DR-4482	January 2020 – present	Unknown
Wildfires	DR-4558	August 14 – September 26, 2020	Unknown
Wildfires	DR-4569	September 4 – November 17, 2020	Unknown

2.6.2 Hazard Risk Ranking

Table 2-12 presents a local ranking of all hazards of concern for which this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments. As described in detail in Volume 1, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property and the economy. Mitigation actions target hazards with high and medium rankings.

Table 2-12. Hazard Risk Ranking						
Rank	Hazard	Risk Rating Score	Risk Category			
1	Earthquake	36	High			
2	Wildfire	18	High*			
2	Severe Weather	30	Medium			
3	Flood	18	Medium			
3	Landslide	18	Medium			
6	Dam Failure	12	Low			
7	Drought	6	N/A			
8	Sea Level Rise	0	Low			
8	Tsunami	0	Low			

^{*} Due to recent Countywide fire history Changed by City of Cotati

2.6.3 Jurisdiction-Specific Vulnerabilities

Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments for each identified hazard of concern. This section provides information on a few key vulnerabilities for the jurisdiction. Available jurisdiction-specific risk maps of the hazards are provided at the end of this annex.

Repetitive Loss Properties

Repetitive loss records are as follows:

- Number of FEMA-identified Repetitive-Loss Properties: 0
- Number of FEMA-identified Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties: 0
- Number of Repetitive-Loss Properties or Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties that have been mitigated: N/A

Other Noted Vulnerabilities

The following jurisdiction-specific issues have been identified based on a review of the results of the risk assessment, public involvement strategy, and other available resources:

• None listed

Mitigation actions addressing these issues were prioritized for consideration in the action plan in this annex.

2.7 HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN

Table 2-13 lists the identified actions, which make up the hazard mitigation action plan for this jurisdiction. Table 2-14 identifies the priority for each action. Table 2-15 summarizes the mitigation actions by hazard of concern and mitigation type.

Table 2-13. Hazard Mitigation Action Plan Matrix							
Benefits New or				Estimated			
Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Cost	Sources of Funding Timeline		
Action COT-1—Im	plement Water Sy	stem Emergenc	y Monitoring and Leak	Detection (incl	udes water quality monitoring and zone		
isolation)							
Hazards Mitigated:	•		l				
Existing	2, 3, 4, 6	City of Cotati		High	Water Enterprise; grant funding short term		
Action COT-2—Colooping main to Ma		noma Water on b	ouilding an emergency	nterconnect a	nd loop main (Cotati Tanks direct interconnect,		
Hazards Mitigated:	•	vere Weather					
New & Existing	2, 3, 4, 6	City of Cotati	Sonoma Water	High	Water Enterprise; grant funding Long term		
Action COT-3—Co	ollaborate with the	City of Rohnert	Park to build a Cotati-R	ohnert Park W	/ater System Emergency Interconnect		
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake	,			, c		
New & Existing	2, 3, 4, 6	City of Cotati	City of Rohnert Park	Medium	Water Enterprise; grant funding Long term		
Action COT-4—Co	ollaborate with the	City of Rohnert	Park to build a Cotati-R	ohnert Park S	ewer system Emergency Interconnect		
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake						
New & Existing	2, 3, 4, 6	City of Cotati	City of Rohnert Park	Medium	Sewer Enterprise; grant funding Long term		
Action COT-5—Fu	ınd and build Well	sites/Tank Intrus	sion Monitoring				
<u> Hazards Mitigated:</u>	Earthquake						
New & Existing	3, 4, 6	City of Cotati		Low	Water Enterprise; grant funding Short trm		
Action COT-6—Fu	ınd and build Well	Site emergency	power interconnects (a	ndding generat	or hook ups to all well sites)		
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake						
Existing	3, 4, 6	City of Cotati		Low	Water Enterprise; grant funding short term		
Action COT-7—Fu	ınd a Redwood Dr	ive and Myrtle S	ewer lift station emerge	ency back-up p	oower source.		
<u> Hazards Mitigated:</u>	•		ı				
Existing	3, 4, 6	City of Cotati		Medium	Sewer Enterprise; grant funding short term		
	Action COT-8—Fund critical facilities Emergency Stationary Back-up Power System and back-up power project						
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Se		/ildfire				
Existing	3, 4, 6	City of Cotati		High	Grant funding long term		

2-12 TETRA TECH

Benefits New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	 Timeline
Action COT-9—Su				'	<u> </u>	•
Hazards Mitigated:		•	-	e, Dam Failure	, Drought, Sea Level Rise, Tsunan	ni
New & Existing	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Cotati		Low	Staff time	Ongoing
Action COT-10—F	und and impleme	nt Evacuation Co	oordination Project			
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake, Wi	ldfire				
New & Existing	3, 4, 5, 6	City of Cotati		Medium	Grant funding, General Fund	short term
Action COT-11—	Develop an Infrasti	ructure Asset Ma	nagement System for I	nspection/Rap	id Repair	
Hazards Mitigated:	Earthquake		1			ı
New	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12	City of Cotati		Medium	Grant funding	Short term
Action COT-12—F	Fund Emergency V	Vater Storage an	d Supply Projects			
Hazards Mitigated:	Wildfire, Earthqua	ake				
New	2, 3, 4, 6, 12	City of Cotati	Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District	High	Water Enterprise, Grant funding	Long term
Action COT-13—F	und Fire Flow Bo	oster Pump Syst	em			
Hazards Mitigated:	Wildfire, Earthqua	ake				
New	2, 3, 4, 6, 12	City of Cotati	Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District	Medium	Grant funding, Water Enterprise	long term
Action COT-14—F	Fund Water Main F	Fire Flow projects	S			
Hazards Mitigated:	Wildfire					
New	2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9	City of Cotati	Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District	High	Grant funding, Water Enterprise	long Term
	Purchase a back-u	p power source	for Traffic Signal Solar	Red Flasher to	assist with community movement	t in the even
of power loss						
Hazards Mitigated:			Earthquake	1		Ι.
New & Existing	3, 4, 5, 6, 10	City of Cotati		Medium	Grant funding, General Fund	short term
	•		t Cotati Avenue Storm	Drain Flood Im	provement Project	
Hazards Mitigated:						I
New & Existing	1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Cotati		High	Grant funding	Long Term
Action COT-17—N	/laintain participati	on in NFIP Progr	ram			
Hazards Mitigated:	Flood, Severe We	eather				
New & Existing	1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Cotati		Low	Staff time	Short term
Action COT-18—E	Ensure compliance	e, adoption and c	oordination between G	eneral Plan's S	Safety Element and HMP	
Hazards Mitigated:	All hazards					
New & Existing	1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	City of Cotati		Low	Staff Time	short term

a. Short-term = Completion within 5 years; Long-term = Completion within 10 years; Ongoing= Continuing new or existing program with no completion date

See the introduction to this volume for list of acronyms used here.

Table 2-14. Mitigation Action Priority								
Action #	# of Objectives Met	Benefits	Costs	Do Benefits Equal or Exceed Cost?	Is Project Grant- Eligible?	Can Project Be Funded Under Existing Programs/ Budgets?	Implementation Priority ^a	Grant Pursuit Priority ^a
COT-1	4	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-2	4	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-3	4	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium
COT-4	4	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium
COT-5	3	High	Low	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-6	3	High	Low	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-7	3	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium
COT-8	3	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-9	12	High	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	High
COT-10	4	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium
COT-11	8	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-12	5	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-13	5	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-14	6	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Medium
COT-15	5	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	No	High	High
COT-16	10	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Low	High
COT-17	10	High	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	No
COT-18	10	High	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	No

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of priorities.

Table 2-15. Analysis of Mitigation Actions									
		Action Addressing Hazard, by Mitigation Type ^a							
Hazard Type	Prevention	Property Protection	Public Education & Awareness	Natural Resource Protection	Emergency Services	Structural Projects	Climate Resilient	Community Capacity Building	
High-Risk Hazards									
Earthquake	9	1 – 11	10		8, 10, 15	1 – 11		9, 18	
Wildfire	9		15		8, 10, 15	12-16		9, 18	
Medium-Risk Hazard	S,								
Severe Weather	9	16, 17	15		8, 15	15,16		9, 18	
Flood	9	16, 17	15	17	8, 15	15,16		9, 18	
Landslide	9	17		17	8, 15			9, 18	
Low-Risk Hazards									
Dam Failure	9	17		17				9, 18	
Sea Level Rise	9							9, 18	
Tsunami	9							9, 18	

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of mitigation types.

2-14 TETRA TECH

2.8 PUBLIC OUTREACH

Table 2-16 lists public outreach activities for this jurisdiction.

Table 2-16. Local Public Outreach						
Local Outreach Activity	Date	Number of People Involved				
Cotati City Council Meeting—HMP Presentation	05/11/2021	25				
City Website HMP Highlight	February 2021					
City Utility Newsletter HMP Outreach	January 2021					

2.9 REVIEW AND INCORPORATION OF INFORMATION FOR THIS ANNEX

The following technical reports, plans, and regulatory mechanisms were reviewed for this annex.

- **Cotati Municipal Code**—The municipal code was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- Cotati Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Cotati Municipal Code, Title 15, Chapter 15.04, section 040)—The flood damage prevention ordinance was reviewed for compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program.
- Cotati Capital Improvement Program
- Sonoma Water 2015 Urban Water Management Plan—City of Cotati is referenced as having participated in the development of the plan
- Cotati General Plan
- Sonoma County Continuity of Operations Plan
- Sonoma County Regional Climate Action Plan
- Sonoma County Community Wildfire Protection Plan

The following outside resources and references were reviewed:

Hazard Mitigation Plan Annex Development Toolkit—The toolkit was used to support the
identification of past hazard events and noted vulnerabilities, the risk ranking, and the development of the
mitigation action plan.























